

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: Florida Rock Industries, In	DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name: Oldsmar Plant Redi-mix Batch Plant			Southwest			
AD	DRESS: 170 Pine Avenue	CONTACT PHONE:		E:		
	Oldsmar, FL		813-962-3213			
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 8/21/09			
1030078 001		1030078-003-AG	Renewal Date: 7/22/09 Test Date: 5/1/00			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Three (3) storage silos (Cement, Flyash, and Slag), Weigh and Loading Hoppers, and Batching Operation Controlled by a central Griffin Jet-Aire, Model D216, Central Baghouse						
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
0.	3/05/09 11:15 est	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: I was met at my vehicle by Michael Harrison, plant manager.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Katherine R. Chumley? Comments:					
4.	4. Is the facility contact still Wayne Ford? Comments: Wayne Ford is the area supervisor and is considered the contact for this facility.					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
2.	2.					
	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]				
5.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) \boxtimes Yes \square No					
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer				
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9. ———————————————————————————————————				
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				
particulate matter from stock piles? \boxtimes Yes \square No b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No				

PART VI: Comments

O&M Plan	
plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the poll	lution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; rts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the rmed; rmed; and ons.
Comments: O&M documentation well organized and kept documented.	t. Dates of repairs, bag and other consumable replacements well
Exit Interview: I thanked Michael Harrison for his efforts in and concise manner.	n completing the documentation regarding the O& M plan in such a clear
concrete pad. This area was wet on this inspection, due to c	est area) of the lot that held a build up of sand and gravel over the overspray from the sprinklers dedicated to the DOT gravel pits, but could son indicated that an effort would be made to clean this area down to the
Chris Brodeur Inspector's Name	03/05/09 Date of Inspection
	_03/10
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection