

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Inc.			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Tarpon Springs Block Plant			Southwest			
ADDRESS: 633 New Anclote Road			CONTACT PHONE:			
Tarpon Springs, FL			727-919-4392			
ARMS NO:		_				
1030063 001		1030063-007-AG	Renewal Date: 3. Test Date:	/15/2012 3/24/2000		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete Batch Plant: Concrete Batch Plant Weigh Hopper w/ Truck Load Out controlled by a CP-LPR-6-S-FS dust collector						
INSPECTION DATE:		INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
2/3/10		☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:		•		
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes		
	Comments: I met with Ray Ford, Plant Manager, who provided me with the maintenance logs and gave me a tour of the facility.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Katherine R. Chumley? Comments:					
4.	Is the facility contact still David Lavender?					
Comments:						
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
	Compliance Demonstration 1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? □ Yes □ No					
2.	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?					
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] \boxtimes Yes \square No					
5.	. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)					
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]					
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels					
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and					
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] Yes No					
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)					
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?					
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?					
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?					
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
o, use of spray our, chaic, or partial enclosure to margaic chaissions at the arop point to the truck.				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
PART VI: Comments				
 O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; and Who performed said maintenance and observations. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] 				
Reviewed records for the months ofFebruary 2009 – January 2010				
Comments:				
The facility appears to be in compliance at this time. The grounds were kept fairly clean and free of fugitive emissions during the				

inspection. The maintenance logs were reviewed and up-to-date. They are utilizing a "Pressure Log" which was not included in the

plan in our files; the pressure log in use goes back to 2006. Further, the	he O&M Plan is under review related to enforcement action
A09-007.	
Exit Interview:	
I informed Mr. Ford that the plant appears to be in compliance at this	time but further review of the O&M Plan may result in a
different compliance status.	
Shannon Ransom Inspector's Name	2/3/10 Date of Inspection
inspector 5 rank	Date of Inspection
	_3/2011
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06