

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY:         Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC         DISTRICT:			DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: Largo 2 Facility Southwest			Southwest		
AD	<b>DRESS:</b> 2195 Lake Ave. S.E.		CONTACT PHONE:		
Largo, FL			813-269-1240		
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 2/14/2014		
1020045 004		1030045-008-AG	<b>Renewal Date:</b> 1/15/2014		
1030045 004		1050045-000-AQ	Test Date:	4/23/2000	
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Stephens 180 ton Cement silo (taller of the silos located on the southern side of the plant) controlled by a C&W Model CP-305 Pulse Jet dust house with 8 cartridge filters. 356ft^2 filtration area					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗆 only one box)			
1.	/20/12	🖾 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Comp	liance; 🗌 Significant	Non-Compliance	
		PART I: General Review:			
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No	
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No	
	Comments:				
	I met with Ernie Riddlebarger who gave me a tour of the facility and provided me with the maintenance logs.				
3.					
	Comments:				
4.	<i>I</i> s the facility contact still <u>Jason Jon</u> <i>Comments:</i>	<u>es</u> ?		Yes No	
5.	<b>If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did th</b> [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	ne facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days?	Yes No	
PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)         Compliance Demonstration         1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No					
<ul> <li>2. X Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?X Yes No</li> </ul>					
3.	<ul> <li>Test Reports</li> <li>3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?</li></ul>				
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	4. <i>C.]</i>	XYes 🗌 No	
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late			
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	Yes 🗌 No	
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)	🗌 Yes 🖂 No			
<ul> <li>a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]</li> <li>b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]</li> </ul>				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
<ul> <li>10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?</li> </ul>				
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.				
(check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
1. Is this facility: 1) a 🖾 stationary; 2) a 🗌 relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, 🗋 stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check 🗆 only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:				
<ul> <li>a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]</li> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages</li> </ul>				
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No			
<ul> <li>2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equalsgallons</li> <li>3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equalscubic feet</li> <li>4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equalsgallons</li> </ul>				
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	Yes No			
<ul> <li><u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)</li> </ul>				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?				
<ul> <li>b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?</li> </ul>				
<i>If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below</i> 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at				
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check				
	🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following. 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Xes 🗌 No			

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ul>				
PART V: <u>General Procedure Requirements and Conditions</u> (check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No</li> </ol>				
Permit Effective Period       - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]         1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?       Image: Second				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?</li> <li>c) Change in ownership</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Change in ownership</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Change in ownership</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> <li>c) Change in ownership</li> <li>c) Tess is No</li> </ul> </li> </ol>				
Noncompliance Notice:       - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]         1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?         1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?         1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?         1. Did the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b).         a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?         b) Did the notification include:         1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?-         2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
  - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
  - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
  - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
  - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Reviewed records for the months of \_\_\_\_\_\_ January 2011 – January 2012\_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

The facility maintains a Monthly Inspection Form for this unit. The maintenance log appeared complete and well documented for the year.

The yard was clean and I observed no airborne emissions during my visit. The yard is swept once a week and also watered as needed to prevent airborne emissions.

4 of 3

Exit Interview: I informed Ernie that the facility appears to be in compliance at this time.

Shannon Ransom

**Inspector's Name** 

1/20/12

**Inspector's Signature** 

~ 1/2013

**Approximate Date of Next Inspection** 

**Date of Inspection** 

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\1030045 004 80749.doc