

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
			DISTRICT:			
DB	SA/Site Name: Largo 2 Facility		Southwest			
ΑĽ	DDRESS: 2195 Lake Ave. S.E.		CONTACT PHONE:			
	Largo, FL		813-269-1240			
AR	RMS NO:					
	1030045 004	1030045-008-AG	Renewal Date: 1/15/2014			
			Test Date:	4/23/2000		
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Stephens 180 ton Cement silo (taller of the silos located on the southern side of the plant) controlled by a C&W Model CP-305 Pulse Jet dust house with 8 cartridge filters. 356ft^2 filtration area						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check \Box only one box)				
1	/11/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significan	t Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments:					
	I met with Ernie Riddlebarger who provided me with the requested maintenance logs and gave me a tour of the facility.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Jason Jones? Comments:					
4.						
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days?	□Yes □ No		
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
		<u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.		ance)		
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Compliance Demonstration New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No						
2.	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?					
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	5 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.]	Yes No		
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late				
6.						
-	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

DADT II. TESTING DEGLIDEMENTS Dulg 62 206 414 E A G					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	o				
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip to question 9.) Yes 🛛 No	_				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]	o				
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]					
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]					
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching					
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] \boxtimes Yes \square No	o				
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? \square Yes \boxtimes No	0				
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? \square Yes \square No	o				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.					
(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable					
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \Box only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants					
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	o				
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons	D				
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons					
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels					
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to					
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?					
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No	o				
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	o				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication	_				
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	0				
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	0				
	U				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at					
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	0				
100 Inc.					
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes □ No	0				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Which of the following methods are used:	0				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes □ No					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes □ No Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	o .				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes □ No Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	o .				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 🗌 Yes 🔯 No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?				
a) Change in ownership \square Yes \bowtie No If any of the answers to $1a - 1$ is Yes, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes No				
If the answer is Yes , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
Continue, and steps being taken to reduce, etiminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance:				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M				
plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating perspectors of the pollution control device:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;				
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:				
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.				
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Reviewed records for the months ofJanuary 22, 2010 – January 11, 2011				

Comments:

Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection				
Shannon Ransom					
I informed Ernie that the facility appears to be in compliance at this time. I further told him that if, after further review of the file and copies of the log, there were any concerns then I would contact Jason Jones, the facility Representative.					
Exit Interview:					
The yard was clean and I observed no airborne emissions during my visit. According to the sweeper log, the yard is commercially swept once a week and Ernie stated high traffic areas are scraped and swept daily. Ernie stated the yard is also watered as needed. The stock piles are kept at a reasonable level with 3-wall enclosures and are outfitted with sprinklers to control airborne dust.					
The facility maintains a Monthly Inspection Form for this unit. The n year. The forms changed in July 2010 as a result of an earlier O&M maintenance documentation is on a different form than July – December 1997.					

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06