

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC		DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: Largo 2 Facility		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 2195 Lake Ave. S.E.		CONTACT PHONE:		
Largo, FL		813-269-1240		
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 2/14/2014		
1030045 004	1030045-008-AGRenewal Date:Test Date:		4 2/2000	
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Stephens 180 ton Cement silo (taller of the silos located on the southern side of the plant) controlled by a C&W Model CP-305 Pulse Jet dust house with 8 cartridge filters. 356ft^2 filtration area				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
1/21/10 In Compliance; In Minor Non-Compliance; Significant Non-Compliance			mpliance	
PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes		
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes	i 🗌 No	
Comments:				
I met with Ernie Riddlebarger who gave me a tour of the facility and provided me with the maintenance logs.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative still Jason Jones?			No No	
Comments:				
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>Jason Jones</u> ? ⊠Yes □ No <i>Comments</i> :				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Compliance Demonstration				
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No				
 2. X Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?				
Test Reports		································	Yes 📙 No	
 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?			Yes 🗌 No	
4. Was the department notified at least 15				
	5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]		
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)		
 a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] Yes No 		
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]		
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes Yes No 		
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
<i>1. Is this facility: 1) a</i> ⊠ <i>stationary; 2) a</i> □ <i>relocatable; or does it have: 3) both,</i> □ <i>stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?</i> (<i>Please check only one box.</i>)		
 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]		
<i>b)</i> Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons		
 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 		
 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels 		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?		
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]		
 <u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)		
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication		
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?		
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below		
 Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? 		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control		
unconfined emissions		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		

DADT W. Unconfined Emissions (2.206 414/2)		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
Administrative Changes:		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions		
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility \Box Yes \boxtimes No		
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes 🗌 No		
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]		
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership		
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]		
a) installation of any new process equipment? Ves 🛛 No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
recent notification form? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No		
d) Change in ownership \Box Yes \boxtimes No		
If any of the answers to $1a) - 1)d$ is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]		
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or		
limitation of the air general permit? Yes 🖂 No		
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? 		
b) Did the notification include:		
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Ves 🗌 No		
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue and steps being taken to reduce eliminate and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?		
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?		
PART VI: <u>Comments</u>		
<u>O&M Plan</u>		
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M		
plan shall include, but is not limited to:(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;		
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;		
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;		
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;		
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:		
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;		
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and who performed said maintenance and observations		
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.		
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]		
Reviewed records for the months of $December 2008 - January 21, 2009$		

Comments:

I had a conversation with Bill Froberg prior to my visit and was informed the maintenance logs were missing a few items and do not

comply with the O&M Plan. He asked me to bring it to their attention and provide them with a copy of the letter sent to them in

February, 2009. The O&M Plan review was not completed by us, therefore, we are giving them 10 business days to make the

additions to their logs without further action.

Aside from the changes to the record logs, the facility appears to be in compliance.

Exit Interview:

I informed Mr. Riddlebarger that the maintenance logs they are using are missing a few items (per a conversation with Bill Froberg)

And asked him to add those items within the next 10 business days. He stated he would call Jason Jones and let him know. I left him

with a copy of the letter sent to the company in February indicating the changes that needed to be made to the logs. I further told him

that it appeared the facility is in compliance pending further review of the file and corrections to the maintenance logs.

Shannon Ransom

Inspector's Name

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Date of Inspection

H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030045 004 71091.doc

1/21/10