

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC		DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: Oldsmar RM Facility		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 501 Douglas Road		CONTACT PHONE:		
Oldsmar, FL		813-269-1240		
ARMS NO: PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date: 10/12/2013		
1030037 001	1030037-006-AG	Renewal Date: 9/12/2013		
1020027 001		Test Date: 9/4/2000		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Cement Silo with emissions controlled by a Besser Appco, Model DCS-260 baghouse				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck only one box)		
4/8/10		liance; Significant Non-Compliance		
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		☐Yes ☐ No		
Comments:				
This facility is still in long-term shutdown. No plans to re-open it anytime soon, per Jason Jones.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative stil	ll <u>Jason Jones</u> ?	⊠Yes □ No		
Comments:				
4. Is the facility contact still Jason Jones? Comments:				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?				
[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Compliance Demonstration				
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No				
2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust				
collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?				
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests	demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent ope	acity limit? Yes 🔲 No		
The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of0% for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]				
4. Was the department notified at least 15				
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)				
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

DADT II. TECTING DECHIDEMENTS Date 62 206 414 E A C			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]			
unicss such rate is unactivate in practice. [62 250.414(5), 1.11.C.]			
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes \square No			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and			
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from			
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching			
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.			
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No			
b) But the test thateare the facility is operating in compitance with the 270 opacity standard.			
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1. Is this facility: 1) a \square stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants			
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]			
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]			
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons			
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons			
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons			
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to			
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?			
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No			
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)			
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or			
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)			
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?			
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))			
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at			
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No			
110 110			
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control			
unconfined emissions Yes No			
Which of the following methods are used:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?			
emissions?			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes No b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No		
PART V: <u>General Procedure Requirements and Conditions</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No		
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership		
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?		
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?		
PART VI: Comments		
O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] Reviewed records for the months of		
Comments:		
This facility is still in long-term shutdown. No plans to re-open it anytime soon, per Jason Jones.		
This twenty to boil in fong term blocks with the plants to be open it anythine soon, per suson solies.		

Exit Interview:	
Shannon Ransom	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06