

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	RE-INSPECTIO	M (EUI) ADMC COMPLAINT NO.					
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: B.E.T.ER Mix, Inc.	DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant			Southwest				
ADDRESS: 2063 20th Avenue S.E.			CONTACT PHONE:				
	Largo, FL		727-862-2239				
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 3/5/14				
1030036 002		1030036-007-AG	Renewal Date: 2/3/14				
			Test Date: 4/1/00				
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Cement Silo No. 1 controlled by a C&W Manufacturing and Sales Co. Model CP-305 Baghouse							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗆 only one box)				
5	-6-14		☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes ☐ No				
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No				
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Chas Johns (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission units.						
3.							
	Comments: Terry stills the Authorized Representative						
	The e-mail address is: lludelcer@betermix.com						
4.	Is the facility contact still: Chuck Ja		⊠Yes □ No				
	Comments: Chuck Jackson stills to The e-mail address is: lludelcer@be						
5.							
٥.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.4 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in					
	npliance Demonstration						
		uipment– (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.4					
		pliance no later than 30 days after beginning op yant to Rule 62-296 414(4)(a) FAC Air Gen					
	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust						
	collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions						
	compliance test?	Test Reports	🖂 Yes 🔲 No				
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? \boxtimes Yes \square No						
7	The last visible emission test, conducted on $4/10/13$ resulted in an opacity of 0 for the highest						
	six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]						
	4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No						
		ne department as soon as practical, but no later					
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-2	$97.401(9)(c)$, $F.A.C]$ \boxtimes Yes \square No				
	The During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]						

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]	skip to question 9.) \square Yes \boxtimes No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No				
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	103 110				
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate					
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batch					
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] 🗌 Yes 🔲 No					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 93	Y ☐ Yes ⊠ No				
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>n/a</u> % for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliant of the complex of the co					
1. Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocated					
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \Box only one box.)	iubie				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batch	china nlants				
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	ming piams				
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages					
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons					
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of	f all fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to					
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, a	ınd				
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?					
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	$\square v_{as} \boxtimes v_{a}$				
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication					
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?					
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))					
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?					
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	$\square V_{as} \square M_{a}$				
teast five (3) business days prior to retocation:	l Tes Ivo				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	N V N.				
unconfined emissions	Yes No				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operato					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles?					

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	No			

PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes:					
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No					
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? Yes No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?					
PART VI: Comments					
O&M Plan					
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]					
Comments: I reviewed records for the months of 7/1/13 to 5/6/14 indicated the emission unit in compliance.					
The facility yard was paved, and well maintained to reduce unconfined emissions. Sprinklers were observed operating on stockpiles					
along with windbreaks being utilized. I was not able to perform visible emission test, because no pneumatic loading of the silo at the					

time. I told Mr. Johns to let me known when they plan to pneumatic loading the silo.

Exit Interview: I informed Mr. Johns, that it appears the fa	ucility is in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	5/6/14
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance	\AQI\1030036 002 88654.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06