

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTIO FACILITY: B.E.T.ER Mix, Inc.	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant	,	Southwest			
ADDRESS: 2063 20th Avenue S.E	5.	CONTACT PHONE:			
Largo, FL		727-862-2239			
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 3/5/2014			
1030036 002	1030036-007-AG	Renewal Date: 2/3/2014 Test Date: 3/1/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Cement Silo No. 1 controlled by a C&W Manufacturing and Sales Co. Model CP-305 Baghouse					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
6/10/10	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	-			
	PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review	TART I. General Review.	Yes No			
2. Introduction and Entry		$\Box Yes \square No$			
<i>Comments:</i> This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. I went to the B.E.T.ER Mix site I noticed the entrance was padlocked. I asked Mr. Chuck Jackson via a telephone as to when they plan to open their facility. He stated the concrete business has been very slow. He stated their crew at Largo plant has been sent to other facility because business has been too slow in the Largo plant. Mr. Jackson explained to that their facility is open as needed. He stated at present time they sent crew out to plant to open the facility for business once a month. Mr. Jackson stated he would contact me next time they plan to open for business.					
3. <i>Is the Authorized Representative still</i> <i>Comments:</i> Mr. Terry White stills <i>the</i>		Yes No			
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>Chuck Jackson</u> ?					
Comments: Mr. Chuck Jackson stills t					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes Yes Yes					
	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would i	·			
	uipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296. pliance no later than 30 days after beginning o				
In order to demonstrate annual complic collector exhaust point within 365 days	suant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air Ge ance, was an annual visible emissions test cona s (annually thereafter) of the previous visible en	neral Permits) lucted on each dust missions			
 In order to demonstrate annual complia collector exhaust point within 365 days compliance test? Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests of 	ince, was an annual visible emissions test cond s (annually thereafter) of the previous visible en	neral Permits) lucted on each dust missions Yes No acity limit? Yes No			
 In order to demonstrate annual compliance collector exhaust point within 365 days compliance test?	nce, was an annual visible emissions test cond s (annually thereafter) of the previous visible en demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent ope	neral Permits) lucted on each dust missions X Yes No acity limit?X Yes No c minute average.			

	PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.		
6	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) We the facility with the university $f(x)$ can be the decompliance of FDA with a log $f(x)$ 207 $f(y)(y)$. E.A. Ch		- N/-
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	X Yes	_ No
6	During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	🛛 Yes 🗌] No
9. 1	 Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	🗌 Yes 📘 1	No No No
ć	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?		No No
	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A		
1	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>)	е	
2.	For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] 1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all	🗌 Yes 🗌] No] No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	Yes] No
1.	 <u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes 📘] No] No] No
2.	<i>If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below</i> <i>Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at</i> <i>least five (5) business days prior to relocation?</i>	🗌 Yes 📘	No
	PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions		No
	a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followin 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		No

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
emissions? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \boxtimes Yes \square No

PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions

(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate honcomphance)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes ⋈ No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes ⋈ No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?

PART VI: Comments

<u>O&M Plan</u>

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
 - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
 - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
 - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
 - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Comments: I was not able to review the operation and maintenance (O&M) record log because no one was onsite.

I went to the B.E.T.ER Mix site I noticed the entrance was padlocked. I asked Mr. Chuck Jackson via a telephone as to when they plan to open their facility. He stated the concrete business has been very slow. He stated their crew at Largo plant has been sent to other facility because business has been too slow in the Largo plant. Mr. Jackson explained to that their facility is open as needed. He stated at present time they sent crew out to plant to open the facility for business once a month. Mr. Jackson stated he would contact me next time they plan to open for business.
I was able to looked through gate I noticed the grounds were paved and kept clean and free of fugitive emissions during the
inspection.
Exit Interview: n/a

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

 Inspector's Signature
 Approxima

 H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030036 002 73699.doc
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

6/10/10 Date of Inspection