

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
RE-INSPECTION	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
FACILITY: B.E.T.ER Mix, Inc.		DISTRICT:
DBA/Site Name: Largo Plant		Southwest
ADDRESS: 2063 20th Avenue S.	E.	CONTACT PHONE:
Largo, FL		727-862-2239 – (offfice) 727-584 – 2051 – (site)
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 3/5/14
1030036 002	1030036-007-AG	Renewal Date: 2/3/14
		Test Date: 4/30/00
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Ce Baghouse (Northeast Cement Silo).	ement Silo No. 1 controlled by a C&W Man	ufacturing and Sales Co. Model CP-305
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck only one box)
3/9/2009	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	iance; Significant Non-Compliance
	PART I: General Review:	
1. Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No
onsite. He then connected via a teleph their facility.	ity Batch Man Mr. Goerge Thomas. He stated Mone to Mr. Jackson the facility contact. I inform	ned Mr. Jackson I was onsite to inspect
3. Is the Authorized Representative still Comments: Mr. Terry White stills the		⊠Yes □ No
4. Is the facility contact still Chuck Ja	•	⊠Yes □ No
Comments: Mr. Chuck Jackson stills		<u></u>
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did t [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days? Yes No
	<u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. ox(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in	
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process E	Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296. Impliance no later than 30 days after beginning o	.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
In order to demonstrate annual compl collector exhaust point tested within 3	rsuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air Ge iance, was an annual visible emissions test cond '65 days (annually thereafter) of the previous vis	lucted on each dust sible emissions
	demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent open an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six	
4. Was the department notified at least 1.	5 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	1.C.]
	the department as soon as practical, but no late	
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No
7. During visible emissions tests of the sile	o dust collector exhaust points was the loading o	of the silo conducted

25 tons per hour rate, Yes No No
ector? (If answer "No" then
"No" then Yes No No No No Yes No No No No Yes No No No Yes No No No No
ollector while batching
g? Yes No e average. ard? Yes No
e 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.
dicate noncompliance)
ationary and relocatable one box.)
other concreted batching plants A.a., F.A.C.] Yes ⋈ No to the fuel usages Yes ⋈ No ons ons ons c feet ons s % of all fuels Intain records to welve (12) months, and ☐ Yes ☐ No on communication Yes ⋈ No on conception (2000)
2-210.900(6)) at
Yes No
dicate noncompliance)
control

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?
PART VI: Comments
 O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; and Who performed said maintenance and observations.

Comments: Facility did not have O&M record onsite. Mr. Jackson stated they had a new O&M plan put together to be send to the Pinellas County AQ Division office for approval. He stated his employee performed the maintenance checks of the emission units. Mr. Jackson stated the maintenance checks performed was not recorded because they were waiting for the O&M plan approval by the AQ Division office. I told Mr. Jackson that they needed to begin to perform the maintenance checks of the emission units and fill-out O&M log.

d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Exit Interview: I told Mr. Jackson the emission unit is deemed	to be in compliance.	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06