

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Cemex Construction Materials Florida, LLC		DISTRICT:		
DBA/Site Name: St. Petersburg South RM Facility		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 601 24th Street South		CONTACT PHONE:		
St. Petersburg, FL		813-269-1240		
ARMS NO: PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date: 10/12/2013		
1030032 001 1030032-008-AG		Renewal Date: 9/12/2013 Test Date: 5/1/2000		
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant, Cement Silo 1 is the southern compartment of a split bin above the load-out area, controlled by a C&W CP-305 Baghouse The split bin is manufactured by Erie Strayer with a total capacity of 117 tons (both bins)				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗆 only one box)		
9/5/12				
PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		Yes No		
2. Introduction and Entry		Yes No		
Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Robert Ritter (Manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit. He stated the emission has been shutdown since 1/22/10 due to low demand. Mr. Ritter stated he does not thing the emission unit will be operational again. The emission unit last tested on 3/10/09. 3. Is the Authorized Representative still: Jason Jones? Wes No Comments: Mr. Jason Jones stills the Authorized Representative.				
The e-mail address is: 4. Is the facility contact still: Jason Jones? <i>Comments: Mr. Jason Jones stills the facility contact.</i>				
The e-mail address is:				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes Yes Yes				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Compliance Demonstration 1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment- (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No 2. ○ Existing Facilities - (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?□ Yes □ No Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? ○ Yes □ No				
The last visible emission test, conducted on 3-10-09 resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]				
 4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] ⊠ Yes □ No 5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ∑ Yes □ No 				
 6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] X Yes No 				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
 7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]		
 a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] Yes No 		
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]		
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? □ Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>n/a</u> % for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? □ Yes □ No 		
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
 Is this facility: 1) a Stationary; 2) a □ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, □ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check □ only one box.) 		
 For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]		
 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equalsgallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No 		
102-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) □ Yes □ No a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?		
 If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No 		
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions		
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving and yards? Paving and yards? Paving and yards? Paving areas, stock piles, and yards? Paving a		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)			
(check 🗆 appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
 re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No			
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? c) Kes ⊠ No c) Change in ownership			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?			
PART VI: Comments			
<u>O&M Plan</u>			
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M			

plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
 - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
 - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
 - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
 - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Comments: Mr. Ritter stated the emission has been shutdown since 1/22/10. Mr. Ritter stated he does not think the emission unit

will be operational again.

The emission unit last tested on 3/10/09. He stated the emission	on has been shutdown since 1/22/10.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Mr.	Ritter this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	9/5/12
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection

 Inspector's Signature
 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030032 001 80814.doc