

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
FA	CILITY: Cemex Construction Mater		DISTRICT:	
DB	A/Site Name: St. Petersburg Sout	th RM Facility	Southwest	
AD	DRESS: 601 24th Street South		CONTACT PHONE:	
	St. Petersburg, FL		813-269-1240	
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	10/12/2013
	1030032 003	1030032-008-AG	Renewal Date: 9/12	2/2013
	1030032 003	1030032 000 713	Test Date:	2/16/2000
		ncrete Batch Plant, Fly ash Silo with emiss (81 ton), is the shorter of the two cylindric		
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck only one box)	
8.	/17/10		iance; Significant N	on-Compliance
		PART I: General Review:	-	-
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No
		rmed in order to determine if this facility has l ger) was present during the facility inspection		pplicable
3.	Is the Authorized Representative sti	ll Jason Jones?		⊠Yes □ No
	Comments: Mr. Jason Jones stills the		_	
4.	Is the facility contact still <u>Jason Jon</u> Comments: Mr. Jason Jones stills the			∑Yes □ No
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the	ne facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days?	Yes No
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]			
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would be		e)
<u>Co</u> 1.	mpliance <u>Demonstration</u> ☐ New Facilities / ☐ New Process E	quipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296. npliance no later than 30 days after beginning o	.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air G	General Permits)
2.	In order to demonstrate annual complication collector exhaust point within 365 day	suant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air Ge ance, was an annual visible emissions test cond s (annually thereafter) of the previous visible en	lucted on each dust missions	⊠ Yes □ No
3.		demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent ope an opacity of _0_% for the highest six minute o		⊠ Yes □ No
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A. <i>C.]</i>	⊠ Yes □ No
5.	Was the required test report filed with test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	the department as soon as practical, but no late	er than 45 days after the	⊠ Yes □ No
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No
		dust collector exhaust points was the loading of mal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimu.		

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomp	oliance)
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	
	<u> </u>
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)	
 a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] 	$\overline{}$
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separathe silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while be at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	atching
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomposition a and a b	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)	
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usage	es
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% of all fuels
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) month are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?	
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No
 <u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or 	
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication	n
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6,	
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes No
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) a least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)	olioneo)
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompart 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	Jiialice)
unconfined emissions	
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contremissions?	rol ⊠ Yes □ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/opera re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate bay(ss) if a sheded bay is sheded this would indicate paragraphicaes)
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] ☐ Yes ☐ No
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? \boxtimes Yes \square No
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?
limitation of the air general permit?
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No
PART VI: Comments
 O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
a. When maintenance and observations were performed;

- b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
- c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
- d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Comments: Reviewed records for the month's of 10/1/09 through 8/17/10, the records were in compliance. See attached copies of

the operation and maintenance checklist record. The O&M Plan is been review pending approval.

I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of inspection

I asked Mr. Ritter to contact AQ Division office next time they plan to load silo.

er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
er this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
r this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
r this emission unit appears to be in compliance.
8/17/10
Date of Inspection
Date of Inspection
Approximate Date of Next Inspection
003 71116.doc

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06