

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _	
AIRS ID#: 1030032 001	DATE: _9/19/06	ARRIVE:1:30 PM	DEPART: _ 3:00 PM
FACILITY NAME: CE	MEX, Inc.		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 601 24th Street South		
	St. Petersburg, FL		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	TAL: Denise Corrales?	PHONE: 8	13-933-6711
CONTACT NAME: Do	enise Corrales?	PHONE: 8	13-933-6711
REMITTANCE YEAR:	N/A ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 1/20/2003 (effective date	/ 01/20/08) (end date)
		_	
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	ck ☑ only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COMPL	IANCE SIGNIFICANT N	on-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RE		<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C	•
11 1			
		site visit according to EPA Method	
		, and other enclosed storage and c	
controlled to the	extent necessary to limit visible er	nissions to 5 percent opacity?	\(\sum Yes \(\subseteq \) No
		ctor exhaust points was the loading ding rate, or at least at the minimu	
unless such rate is	unachievable in practice?		\ Yes \ \ No
		eration controlled by the silo dust cons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer	
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question 5.)	the visible emissions test?	\(\big Yes \(\big \) No
		ing rate representative of the norm	
		tion are controlled by a dust collec	
from the silo dust	collector, are the visible emission	ns tests of the weigh hopper (batch	er) dust collector
conducted while b	patching at a rate that is representa	ative of the normal batching rate an	nd duration? □Yes ⊠ No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)			
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the			
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) \times Yes \tag No			
N E- 200 ('4-1			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form			
submittal date? Yes No			
Existing Excilities (normitted pursuant to Dula 62 210 200(4) E.A.C. Air Conseal Remaits)			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to			
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? \(\subseteq Yes \) \(\subseteq No			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)			
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?			
test was completed? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ 			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing 			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)————————————————————————————————————			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒ only one box.) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☒ only one box.) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
T T					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak	ra reasonable presentions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	e reasonable precautions to control uncommed				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y	vards which shall include one or more of the fo	llowing.			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-si					
emissions?		- ⊠Yes □ No			
removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay	ved areas under control of the owner/operator to	_ <u>_</u>			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		⊠Yes □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	nd breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	× / × / /				
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?		Yes No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep		□Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe	erent than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?		Yes No			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the ov					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050), FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		Yes No			
Mike Ojo Thomas					
MINC OJU THOMAS	9/19/06				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
inspector of tune (1 tenso 1 tine)	Dute of Inspection				
	- CN (Inc.)	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
Ir———					
COMMENTS: The emission unit was not in operation (No pne	eumatic loading of silo at the time). I review	ed operation and			

maintenance records for the months of 8/1/05 through 8/25/06, the records were in compliance.

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

FA	CII	LITY	CEMEX, Inc.	Per_ID: 62	DISTRICT:
St. Petersburg Readymix Plant		Southwest			
Al	DDR	ESS	5: 601 24th Street South		CONTACT: Keith Becker
St. Petersburg, FL		Phone No: 813-933-6711			
4.7	21/6	' Ma		PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:
		S <i>No</i> . 0032	.: 2 001	1030032-005-AG	01/20/08
					silo, and concrete batching controlled by a C&W
					ading are controlled by a "TM" shroud venting to
			aghouse.	Ç	
IN	SPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:
	~9/19			INS2 or INS	⊠IN □MNC □SNC
			f Inspection:	☐Re-inspection ☐Complai	
				A. General Review:	
1.		Perr	nit File Review	The General Review	⊠Yes □ No
2.		Intro	oduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No
		<i>a</i>	TI.:		
				rformea in oraer to aetermine if this fac ner) was present during the facility insp	cility has been operating within applicable pection of the emission unit.
2		7			
3.	- 1		ne Authorized Representative nments:	still: <u>Denise Corrales</u> ?	⊠Yes □ No
4.		<i>I</i> s tl	ne facility contact still: Denise	Corrales?	⊠Yes □ No
		Con	nments:		
	M	S			
I	N	N		P. G. 101 G. 1141	
N	C	C	The owner or operator of any t	B. Specific Conditions	osing to change location shall submit a Facility
	The owner or operator of any relocatable concrete batching plant proposing to change location shall submit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the Department at least 30 days prior to relocation;				
			[62-210.300(4)(c)2.c., F.A.C.]]	
			Comments: This facility is no	ot a relocatable concrete batch plant	
	Comments: This facility is not a relocatable concrete batch plant.				
\boxtimes					air general permit may operate, or allow the
	operation of, one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the				
	same location as the concrete batching plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the				
	material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%,				
	by weight. The owner or operator of the concrete batching plant shall maintain a log book to account for fuel				
consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to accoun the sulfur content of the fuel being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.A.C.]					
			the surrur content of the fuel t	7	a 1.C.]
					ral processing plant on-site under general permit
			Non/a Reviewed the	records for the months ofn/a n/agallons/year of fuel andn/a_	,n/a, andn/a The
					t around the pop-off valves? \(\subseteq \text{ Yes } \subseteq \text{ No}
				k – are there any apparent leaks? \square Yes	

т	M N	S	
I N	C	N C	B. Specific Conditions
			Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions: (a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards. 2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions. 3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter. 4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles. (b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck. [62-296.414(2)] Comments: The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite.
			Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] Comments: The last test, on 12/9/05, was conducted at a process rate of 25 tph. Based on that test, the facility process rate was limited to 25 tph.
			If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] *Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper \interpretare \infty are not controlled by a separate dust collector. *A separate test was not conducted at the appropriate rate.
			Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.] Comments: The test should be completed between 10/21/05 and 12/21/05. The last test was conducted on 12/9/05, and the test results were submitted on 2/28/06.
			Test Reports The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The last test was conducted on 12/9/05, and the test results were submitted on 2/28/06.

	M	S		
I	N	N		
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions	
			The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;	
			 (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] 	
			Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/05 to 8/25/06 indicated emission unit in compliance.	
			C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions	
\boxtimes			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]	
			Comments: This is non applicable at this time.	
\boxtimes			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department. [62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]	
			Comments: No facility changes.	
\boxtimes			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]	
			Comments: The permit expires on 01/20/08. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 11/21/07.	
D. Other:				
Closing Conference				
Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/05 through 8/25/06, the records were in compliance.				
Ins	pec	tor(
Signature(s) Date: 9/27/06				
ĺ				

D. Other:					
Closing Conference					
Comments:					
Other Comments: I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, as no tankers were on site, and not batching at the time of the inspection. Reviewed records for the months of 8/1/05 through 8/25/06, the records were in compliance.					
Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division					
Signature(s) Date: 9/27/06					

CONTACT LOG? ___Yes__, ACCESS? __Yes_, ARMs? _Yes_ H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030032 001 52439.doc