

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
	RE-INSPECTIO	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: SPCA Tampa Bay	DISTRICT:					
DB	BA/Site Name:		Southwest				
AD	<b>DDRESS:</b> 9099 130th Avenue N	Jorth	CONTACT PHONE:				
	Largo, FL	<u></u>	727-586-3591				
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 5/14/2013				
	1030020 001	1030020-005-AG		4/14/2013			
	1030020 001	1030020-003-AG	Test Date:	8/12/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Animal Crematory: Industrial Equipment & Engineering Company, Model IE43-PPJ with a maximum design batch load of 300 pounds. Secondary temperature maintained at 1,600 degrees F.							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check one box)				
4	4/21/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant Non	ı-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No			
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No			
	arrival on site. Ms. Goeddert was present during the facility tour. The emission unit was inspected and determined to be out of operation at this time. The company is no longer utilizing the Model IE 43-PPJ incinerator. The inspection revealed that this emission unit, Model IE 43-PPJ incinerator had been shut down permanently and gas line/gas meter were disconnected. The electric power lines have not been disconnected. The emission unit has not been disassembling.						
3.	Is the Authorized Representative stil Comments:	Is the Authorized Representative still Nora Hawkins?					
4.	Is the facility contact still Connie Br			Yes No			
	Comments: Connie Brooks no longer						
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days?	Yes No			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)							
1.	Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(6)(h), F.A.C.]  1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?						
	Existing Facilities						
1.	Test Reports  Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(6)(b)1., F.A.C.]——————————————————————————————————						
2.		erating at a capacity that is representative of nor 's recommended capacity? $[62-296.401(6)(g)]$		☐ Yes ☐ No			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401(6), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.]	Yes No			
4.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? $[62-297.310(8)(b)$	🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	Yes No			
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to Method 9?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.  b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?				
<i>7</i> .	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	Yes No			
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (check $\Box$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	2)			
,					
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
2.	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(6)(i), F.A.C.]  a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the				
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?				
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?				
	<ol> <li>All temperature measurements</li></ol>				
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	🗌 Yes 🔲 No			
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🗌 Yes 🗍 No			
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings				
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?  a) Date range for records reviewed: From: To:				
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c)				
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?				
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?				
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89				
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(6)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)				
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	Yes No			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature				
5	is equal to or greater than $1400^oF$ ?	Yes No			
٦.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time				

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
(check □ appropriate box(es), it a shaded box is checked, this would indicate holicomphand @ 1800° F?	\ Yes \ \ No					
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?						
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes No					
<ul> <li>6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes 🔲 No					
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance						
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)					
<b>Equipment Maintenance:</b> – [62-296.401(6)(e), F.A.C.]						
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?						
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	Yes No					
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	Yes No					
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes No					
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?						
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance.	ee)					
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	Yes No					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?	Yes No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershipt						
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C  a) Installation of any new process equipment?  b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d) A change in ownership?						
If the any of the answers to $1a$ ) $-1$ ) $d$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should have been submitted 30 days prior to the change	Yes No					
Noncompliance Notice:  - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  I. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any limitation of the air general permit?						

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b).					
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to	the Department? \( \sum \text{Yes} \) No				
b) Did the notification include:					
	Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not of					
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recu	urrence of the noncompliance?				
PART VI: C	<u>comments</u>				
The emission unit was inspected and determined to be out of operation	on at this time. The company is no longer utilizing the Model IE.				
43-PPJ incinerator. The inspection revealed that this emission unit,	Model IE 43-PPJ incinerator had been shut down permanently				
and gas line/gas meter were disconnected. The electric power lines h	nave not been disconnected. The emission unit has not been				
disassembling. A letter was received from facility on 4/13/11 claimin	g abandoning the General Permit on the emission unit,				
Model IE 43-PPJ incinerator. This emission unit is deemed to be in compliance by permanently shutdown.					
Mile O's Therman	4/22/11				
Mike Ojo Thomas	4/22/11				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030020					

4 of 3 Revised 05/08