

HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: S.E. Cemetaries of Florida	DISTRICT:				
DB	BA/Site Name: Bay Area Cremator	Southwest				
AD	DDRESS: 5862 Ulmerton Road		CONTACT PHONE	ù:		
	Clearwater, FL		531-8200			
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	11/10/2012		
	1030017 005	1030017-006-AG	Renewal Date: 10/10/2012 Test Date: 11/16/2000			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Inc. Phoenix II, 350 pound batch operated at 1,600 degree minimum secondary chamber temperature						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)			
2	2-8-13	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant N	Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: This emission unit was ins William Wood for the inspection of the	spected to determine the annual compliance state e facility and emission unit.	tus. I met with the crem	atory manager, Mr.		
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st	till: Mark Panter?		⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: Mr. Simpson stills the Au	-				
	The e-mail address is: mpanter@ste					
4.	Is the facility contact still: William			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments : Mr. Wood stills the facil The e-mail address is:	ity contact.				
5.						
		ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 ox(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in		e)		
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
	2. Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: Yes No					
Test Reports 1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]						
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	ver? [62-296.401(5)(g)] \boxtimes Yes \square No		
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	.C.]	⊠ Yes □ No		
	4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ✓ Yes ✓ No					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	- ⊠ Ye.	s 📙	No
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average.	- \(Ye	$s \boxtimes$	No
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?			No
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	- [] Ye	$s \boxtimes$	No
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Ye.	$s \boxtimes$	No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected-0; Wind direction - North_ Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10)			
2.				
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- 🖂 Ye	s \square	No
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \ \Box 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?			No
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	- <u>[</u>] 1e.	з 🗀	IVO
	1) All temperature measurements	- 🛚 Ye.	s 🔲	No
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	∇ v.	. —	N7 -
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(9-24-12</u>)	- 🖂 1e.	$s \parallel$	No No
	4) Adjustments	- X Ye	$\stackrel{\circ}{s}$	No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices			No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices			No
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	_	_	_
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	- ⊠ Ye.	$s \parallel$	No
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	- ⊠ Ye.	s 🔲	No
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:3-1-12 To:3/8/139) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	$\Box V_a$. M	No
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically	- <u></u> Пе.	3 🖂	100
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	- \(\sum \cdot Ye	$s \square$	No
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?			Ma
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	- <u></u> Пе	s	100
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- [] Ye.	s 🔲	No
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.				
,.	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ $1600^{\circ}F$?	- ∏ Ye.	$s \square$	No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- [] Ye.	s \square	No
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature			
_	is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	- ∐ Ye.	s 🔲	No
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	∇v_{α}		No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	- M 16	о <u> </u>	110
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- X Ye	$s \square$	No
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation			

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

NA PROME ARRIVA PROGRAMMENTAL DEGLINE MENTO				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (check - appropriate boy(as) if a shaded boy is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) process begins in the primary chamber?				
process begins in the primary chamber:	· M les 110			
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]	- ⊠ Yes □ No			
 a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?				
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- ⊠ Yes □ No			
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	$\nabla V_{20} \square N_0$			
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🖂 Yes 🔲 No - 🖂 Yes 🔲 No			
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?				
DADT V. Special Conditions And Procedures				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	- ⊠ Yes ☐ No			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	- Yes No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]				
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	- ☐ Yes ☒ No - ☐ Yes ☒ No - ☐ Yes ☒ No			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

 A description of and cause of noncompliance? Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent rec 	anticipated time noncompliance is expected to continue
PART VI:	Comments
An AQD VE test was not performed because the emission unit was	s not in operation.
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 4/1/11 to 2/3/12.	
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are com	nposed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept
onsite. The emission unit was calibrated on 3/15/11, See attached	calibration data sheets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. Will	liam Wood, facility appears to be in compliance at this time.
Mike Ojo Thomas	2/8/13
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030017	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 05/08