

## <u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) \( \) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) \( \)						
	RE-INSPECTIO					
FACILITY: Cemetery Management, Inc.  DISTRI						
	BA/Site Name: Bay Area Cremator	Southwest				
Al	<b>DDRESS:</b> 5862 Ulmerton Road	CONTACT PHONI	E:			
	Clearwater, FL	531-8200				
Al	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b> 11/10/2012			
1030017 006		1030017-006-AG	Renewal Date:	10/10/2012		
			Test Date:	12/8/2000		
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Human Crematory: IE & E Model IE-43-SSP (Super Power-Pak), 300 pound batch operated at 1600 degrees minimum secondary chamber temperature						
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
	3/7/11		liance; Significant 1	Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. William Wood for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.					
3.	· Is the Authorized Representative still Robert E. Simpson?			⊠Yes □ No		
4.	Is the facility contact still William V			⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: Mr. Wood stills the facilit	y contact.				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
	mpliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)					
1.	New Facility / New Process Equa		2	□ 17.5 □ 17.5		
		pliance no later than 30 days after beginning o	peranon?	Yes No		
2.	$\boxtimes$ Existing Facilities  Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No			🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
1.	Test Reports  1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]					
The last visible emission test resulted in an opacity of0% for the highest six minute average.						
2.		rating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cade	_			
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No			🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
4.	. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ⊠ Yes ☐ No			🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
5.	. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No					
	W	d by the inspector during this site visit accordi	no to EDA Mothod 02	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. $401(5)$ , F.A.C. (check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of0% for the highest six minute average.			
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	\(\simeg \text{ Yes } \square \text{No}		
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	□ Yes ⊠ No		
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplian	ce)		
1.		·		
1.	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - S Upwind odor level detected (1-10)	163 🖂 140		
2.				
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	res   Ivo		
	time at $\Box 1,800^1 \ \boxtimes 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the			
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?  1) All temperature measurements	X Yes \( \sum_{No} \)		
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;			
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations			
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(12/30/10</u> )			
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices			
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	$\bigvee V_{as} \square N_{a}$		
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	$ \boxtimes Yes \square No$		
	a) Date range for records reviewed: From:9/12/10 To:3/7/109) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c)			
		∐ Yes ⊠ No		
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	□ Yes □ No		
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity			
	exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes No		
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	\[ Yes \[ \] No		
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89			
2				
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5)			
	b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	J 11	□ v □ v.		
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ $1600^{\circ}F$ ?b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	l les l No		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No		
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than 1400°F?			
_		L Yes L No		
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time  © 1800° F?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes □ No		

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check   appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
(check \( \) appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate honcompr	iance)				
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]  a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they					
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?  b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?	\(\times Yes \) \(\times No\)				
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance (check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliant to the control of the control o	iance)				
<b>Equipment Maintenance:</b> – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?					
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	\( \tex \) \( \tex \) \( \tex \)				
<ul> <li>3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li></ul>					
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?					
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompli	iance)				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility.  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.	ty □ Yes ⊠ No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	\( \text{Yes} \) \( \text{No} \)				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]					
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a) Installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with a limitation of the air general permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
2. Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the anticipated time noncompliance is expected and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?	l to continue				

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART VI:	: Comments
An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit. An opacity of	of 0% was observed.
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of $9/18/10$ to $3/7/11$ .	
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are con	nposed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept onsite
The emission unit was calibrated on 12/30/10, See attached calibrated	ration data sheets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. Wil	liam Wood, facility appears to be in compliance at this time.
Mike Ojo Thomas	3/9/11
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030017 006 7	

4 of 3 Revised 05/08