

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FA	CILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Industries	DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Largo Redi Mix Plant			Southwest		
ADDRESS: 13175 95th Street North			CONTACT PHONE:		
	Largo, FL		813-962-3213		
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/2/2012		
1030008 001		1030008-003-AG	Renewal Date: 4/2/2012		
Test Date:					
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Weigh hopper and truck loading hood, Controlled by a central C&W Manufacturing and Sales Company, Inc., Model CW-RA-140 Dust Collector					
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)			
1,	/20/12	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:			
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
	Comments: I met with James Secrest, Plant Superintendent, who provided me with the maintenance logs and gave me a tour of the facility.				
3.					
	Comments: The new Authorized rep is James Burkholder: BurkholderJ@VMCMAIL.com.				
4.	4. Is the facility contact still David Lavender?				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ☐ Yes ☑ No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check — appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?					
	*	·			
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?				
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.]		
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late			
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
	∑ Yes □ No		
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then	□ Voc M No		
skip to question 9.)			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?[a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of			
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	•		
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.)			
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching p or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	Yes		
 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 			
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fu	vels		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)	_ Yes <u></u> No		
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	☐ Yes ☐ No		
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?[b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?			
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Which of the following methods are used:	∑ Yes □ No		
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No		

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?			
PART VI: Comments			
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]			
Reviewed records for the months ofApril 22 – January 20, 2012			

Comments:

Facility appears to be in compliance. They had a change in Autho instead of Katherine Chumley. They also changed the facility cont nothing in the file regarding these changes. Written notification of 1/23/12. Per Gary Robbins, the status of this facility is "in compliant."	f the changes was requested of James Burkholder via email on			
The facility's records were complete and up-to-date. The grounds were clean; the facility is swept once a month and the loose material is swept back into the aggregate piles at the end of each day. Water is used as needed to suppress dust.				
	in compliance at this time with the exception of the administrative he issue. I also informed Jeff of the permit expiration this year and			
Shannon Ransom Inspector's Name	1/20/12 Date of Inspection			
-	~1/2013			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

 $H: \label{lem:lem:hamma} H: \label{lem:hamma} Without a large and large an$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06