

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🖾 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Inc.			DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: Largo Redi Mix Plant Southwest								
AD	DRESS: 13175 95th Street No.	rth	CONTACT PHO	NE:				
	Largo, FL		813-962-3213					
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/2/2012					
	1030008 001	1030008-003-AG	Renewal Date:	4/2/2012				
1030008 001		1030000-003-AG	Test Date:	2/24/2000				
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: Weigh hopper and truck loading hood, Controlled by a central C&W Manufacturing and Sales Company, Inc., Model CW-RA-140 Dust Collector								
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check \(\simeg \) only one box)						
	4/22/11	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance						
		PART I: General Review:	J	•				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No				
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No				
	Comments: I met with Jeff Seacrest, Plant Superintendent, who provided the necessary logs and gave me a tour of the facility.							
3.	3. Is the Authorized Representative still Katherine R. Chumley? Comments:			⊠Yes □ No				
4.	Is the facility contact still <u>David Lavender</u> ? Comments:			⊠Yes □ No				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]								
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)								
<u>Co</u> 1.	Compliance Demonstration							
2.	2. \(\sum \) Existing Facilities — (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?\(\sum \) Yes \(\sum \) No							
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?							
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.	A.C.]					
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late						
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C	\square Yes \square No				
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,							

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	⊠ Yes □ No				
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip to question 9.)					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?					
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	.C.				
1. Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable	e				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \Box only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:					
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	Yes No				
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons					
 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals 					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all	fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?	jueis				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	Yes No				
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	□ Yes □ No				
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	□ Yes □ No				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No				
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	⊠ Yes □ No				
Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following					
 Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control 	⊠ Yes □ No				
emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>						
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?						
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions						
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No						
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?						
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?						
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership						
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?						
limitation of the air general permit?						
PART VI: Comments O&M Plan						
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]						
Reviewed records for the months ofApril 2010 - April 2011						

Comments:

All of the records were complete and up-to-date. The O&M Plan meets the County Code requirements. The facility grounds were fairly clean. The sweeper comes once a month and is documented in the sweeper log book; on a daily basis, the loose material is					
cleared off the grounds and put back into the aggregate pi	iles at the end of the day.				
Exit Interview:					
I informed Mr. Seacrest that the facility appeared to be in	compliance at this time.				
Shannon Ransom	4/22/11				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection				
	~ 4/2012	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

 $H: \label{lem:hamma} We have $$H:\ \arrowvert all A ir Compliance \AQI \1030008\ 001\ 75807. doc$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06