

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐				
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Florida Rock Industries, Inc	DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Largo Redi Mix Plant		Southwest		
ADDRESS: 13175 95th Street North		CONTACT PHONE:		
Largo, FL		813-962-3213		
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/2/12		
1030008 001	1030008-003-AG	Renewal Date: 4/2/12 Test Date: 3/11/00		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete Batch Plant: weigh hopper and truck loading hood, Controlled by a central C&W Manufacturing and Sales Company, Inc., Model CW-RA-140 Dust Collector				
INSPECTION DATE:	NSPECTION DATE: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl			
02/05/09	02/05/09			
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		∑Yes □ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
Comments: I was met by Jeff Secrest and given a tour of the facility.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative still Katherine R. Chumley?				
Comments:				
4. Is the facility contact still David Lavender? Comments:				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Compliance Demonstration	in (co)) if a siluated box is effectively this would	inacete noncompliance)		
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No				
2. \(\subseteq \textbf{Existing Facilities} - \text{(permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)} \) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\subseteq \subseteq \text{No} \)				
Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?				
4. Was the department notified at least 15				
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)				
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No				
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	s No	
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9	s 🗌 No	
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]	S No	
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]⊠ Yes	s 🔲 No	
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1. Is this facility: 1) a ⊠ stationary; 2) a ☐ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, ☐ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)		
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	S	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	s □ No	
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	S No	
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons		
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons		
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals% of all fuels		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least		
five (5) years? $[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]$	s 🗌 No	
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or		
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)	s 🗌 No	
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	s 🗌 No	
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	s 🗌 No	
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at		
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes	s 🗌 No	
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions	s 🔲 No	
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	,,	
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control		
emissions?	_	
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? $oxtime$ Yes	$s \sqcup No$	

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No			
Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration?			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?			
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?			
PART VI: Comments			
 O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; and Who performed said maintenance and observations. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] 			

Reviewed records for the months of 01/07-01/09

Comments: 0.8M logs were kent up to date through the second week of April of 2008. A misunderstanding between misunderstand

Comments: O&M logs were kept up to date through the second week of April of 2008. A misunderstanding between management levels discontinued the use of the accepted form and instituted a different unacceptable form. Attached are copies of the two forms.

The new form is filled out weekly but does not differentiate be maintenance for all four E.U.s. Therefore, acceptable docum	etween the emission units and only one form was filled out to document nentation for this E.U. does not exist after 04/12/08.
adequately address the maintenance for all four emission uni E.U.'s air filters were changed on 06/27/08 (as per doc. attac	the O&M form was not approved by DEM and that the new form did not its. Mr. Secrest acknowledged my point: specifically, when asked which ched) Mr. Secrest could not answer. Mr. Secrest assured me that the ther informed Mr. Secrest that lack of documentation was a matter of non-seld most likely be mailed to Fl. Rocks. Headquarters.
Chris Brodeur	02/05/09
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	02/10
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06