

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	∠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	CRY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):
AIRS ID#: 0810085 D.	ATE: <u>12/31/08</u>	ARRIVE: 9:40am	DEPART: <u>11:30am</u>
FACILITY NAME: B	ELSPUR OAKS PET CREMA	TORY	
FACILITY LOCATIO	ON: 6060 28TH ST EAST	C, No. 9	
	BRADENTON 342	03	
OWNER/AUTHORIZ	ED REPRESENTATIVE: R	AYMOND BOUNDS PHONE	E: (941)751-5044
CONTACT NAME:		PHONI	Ε:
ENTITLEMENT PER	IOD: 1/8/2006 / 1/8/2011 (end date)		
IN COMPLIA	NCE MINOR Non-CO		NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/R (check ☑ appropri		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.	A.C.
(check appropri1. Were there any of	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?-		
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible en 62-297, F.A.C.) 	date box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second conducted during the sec	his site visit according to EPA Me	☐ Yes ☐ No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ☐ Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible en 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the 	date box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second sec	his site visit according to EPA Me	
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible en 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j) In order to demoderate to	tate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submistor, F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Me liance, was an annual visible emis ssion, and within 60 days prior to	rethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible en 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j) In order to demodent to demodent to demodent to demodent to demodent with 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submist), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Me liance, was an annual visible emis ssion, and within 60 days prior to	Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No esthod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No esthod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No esthod 9 (Rule Yes No each anniversary date? (Rule Yes No ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible en 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j) In order to democompleted with a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 	bate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submist), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Me	Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No estions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Yes No ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No parts per million by ording to EPA Method
 (check ☑ appropriate appropr	biate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submist), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Meliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to diance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 jurly average basis and tested accordethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.	Yes No ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No esthod 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the second	biate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submistly, F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Meliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to discount to be seen the remaining application form submission? (Rule or below the requirements of 100 jurly average basis and tested according to to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the seen to the seed to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the seed to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the seed acco	Pethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Sisions test conducted 60 EYes No No ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Signs No parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)?
(check appropri	biate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the constrate individual source complete AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Mediance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to discount of the second of	Pethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sisions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Sisions test conducted 60 Eyes No ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Signs No parts per million by ording to EPA Method Signs of 0.080 grains per of EPA Method 5 Eyes No ers recommended
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the second	biate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected?- nissions test conducted during the second strate individual source complete AGP Notification form submises), F.A.C.)	his site visit according to EPA Meliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to discount of the second of	Provided By Service S

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record temperatures in the primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber combustion zone in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?————————————————————————————————————
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber combustion zone in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber combustion zone in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All measurements (including CEMS)
measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All measurements (including CEMS)
1) All measurements (including CEMS)————————————————————————————————————
2) Monitoring device
3) Performance Testing Measurements
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks
6) Adjustments
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices
 2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box) a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:
 a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600° F? \square Yes \square No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions? \bigsymbol{\text{Yes}} \bigsymbol{\text{D}} No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time
@ 1800° F?
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals? \Box\(\text{Yes}\) \Box\(\text{Dericents}\) by weight enformated
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of
their use and for at least two years after their use?
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils
thick? \omega the discovered for the original of the state of the
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location? Yes No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less? \(\sime\) Yes \(\sime\) No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? Yes No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment?			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No □No □No
Wendy D. Simmons	12-31-08		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	02/17/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspecti	on	

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection research: This facility has a possible enforcement case for late submittal of 2007 testing for all three of their cremation units. Testing was conducted timely on 12/05/2007, but was not submitted to the Department until December 15, 2008. Inspection findings: Mr. Raymond Bounds responded to checklist questions and escorted me to look at cremation units. Equipment model and serial numbers were confirmed and matched ARM's database information. Chart recorder records were presented upon request and I observed that several of the chart records seemed to be missing operator information and burn start markings. Mr. Bounds stated that the operator information was not put on each chart recording record because he is the only operator of the units. I asked Mr. Bounds about his use of plastic bags and Mr. Bounds stated he does use plastic bags, but could not locate the MSDS sheets. Mr. Bounds did have a copy of his permit on site and presented it to me upon my request. I explained in detail the MSDS sheet requirements for Mr. Bounds and showed him where to find those requirements in his Air General Permit Registration Notification information. I also showed and highlighted the record keeping requirements to Mr. Bounds and asked him to be sure he corrected the missing information and obtain proper MSDS sheets for use of plastic bags. I provided a copy of the Animal Crematory Workbook information to Mr. Bounds and told him I would revisit his facility soon to look over the rest of his chart recorder records. I also told Mr. Bounds that I would check for proper copies of the MSDS sheets during my next visit. A follow-up inspection is planned for February 17, 2009. Some unmarked boxes above are no longer applicable due to rule changes in 2007.