



Florida Department of
Environmental Protection

Northwest District
160 W. Government Street, Suite 308
Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740

Rick Scott
Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr.
Secretary

February 29, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested
deethompson@embarqmail.com

Ms. Dee Thompson
Animal Services Director
Panhandle Animal Welfare Society, Inc.
752 Lovejoy Road
Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548

Dear Ms. Thompson:

On February 15, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910050. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in minor non-compliance at the time of the inspection for failing to maintain records of preventative maintenance, adjustments, calibrations, and corrective maintenance as required. Facility personnel indicated a new maintenance tracking log would be developed based on the manufacturers recommendations. A follow-up inspection is planned to ensure that corrective measures have been implemented.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Carol Melton".

Carol Melton
Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _____

AIRS ID#: 0910050	DATE: <u>2/15/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>4:05 P.M.</u>	DEPART: _____
FACILITY NAME: PANHANDLE ANIMAL WELFARE-FT WALTON BEACH			
FACILITY LOCATION: 752 LOVEJOY RD FORT WALTON BEACH 32548			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEE THOMPSON		PHONE: (850)243-1525	
Email: deethompson@embarqmail.com		Mobile:	
CONTACT NAME: DEE THOMPSON		PHONE: (850)243-1525	
Email: deethompson@embarqmail.com		Mobile:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/10/2008 / 1/10/2013 (effective date) (end date)			

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question)

1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dee Thompson
 Brief Notes: _____

2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEE THOMPSON? ----- Yes ..No
 If no, who is?: _____
 If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ----- Yes ..No

3. Is the facility contact still DEE THOMPSON? ----- Yes ..No
 If no, who is?: _____

4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ----- Yes ..No
 If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ----- Yes ..No

Emissions Unit Section
1 –ANIMAL CREMATION INCINERATOR

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check only one box for each question)

1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? ----- Yes ..No
- b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? ----- Yes ..No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 1500 lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? ----- Yes ..No
4. Date of last inspection: 2/10/11
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:
 - a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? ----- Yes ..No
 - b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? ----- Yes ..No
 - c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? ----- N/A Yes ..No
 - d. Date of last VE test: 2/28/11
 - e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? ----- Yes ..No
 - f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? ----- Yes ..No
If no, what was the problem (if known)? _____

PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?** ----- Yes ..No
 - a. Operating capacity during test? _____ lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit
 - b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ----- Yes ..No
 - c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes ..No
 - d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ----- Yes ..No
 - e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of _____ % for the highest six minute average.
 - f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ----- Yes ..No
 (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)
- 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?** ----- Yes ..No
 - a. Operating capacity during test? _____ lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit
 - b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ----- Yes ..No
 - c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes ..No
 - d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ----- Yes ..No
 - e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of _____ % for the highest six minute average.
 - f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ----- Yes ..No
 (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)
- 3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?** ----- Yes ..No
If yes, what reason? _____

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

(check only one box for each question)

1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? ----- Yes ..No
 An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:
 Wind direction - _____ Downwind odor level detected- _____ Upwind odor level detected- _____ Scale: 1-10 (worst)
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –
- a. Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions? ----- Yes ..No
- b. Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ 1,600² degrees was determined? ----- Yes ..No
 (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)
- c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?
- (1) All temperature measurements ----- Yes ..No
- (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations ----- Yes ..No
- (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on _____) ----- Yes ..No
- (4) Adjustments ----- Yes ..No
- (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- Yes ..No
- (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- Yes ..No
- d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings ----- Yes ..No
- e. Was the crematory unit installed **after 2/1/07**? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) ----- Yes ..No
- (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? ----- Yes ..No
- (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity? ----- Yes ..No
- (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommended maintenance schedule? ----- Yes ..No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check only one box for each question)

1. If the application to construct was **BEFORE** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1400°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- Yes ..No
- b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1400°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ----- Yes ..No
2. If the application to construct **ON** or **AFTER** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1600°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- Yes ..No
- b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1600°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ----- Yes ..No

PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS

(check only one box for each question)

1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate containers, are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? ----- Yes ..No
 If yes, what other materials? _____
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? ----- Yes ..No
 If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use? Yes ..No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

(check only one box for each question)

- 1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? ----- Yes ..No
- 2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? ----- Yes ..No
- 3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? ----- Yes ..No
If no, skip a. – b.
 - a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? ----- Yes ..No
 - b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? ----- Yes ..No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

- IN COMPLIANCE
- MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE
- SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES

(check only one box for each question)

Administrative Changes:

- 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes ..No
- 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ----- Yes ..No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:

- 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been ----- Yes ..No
 - a. Installation of any new process equipment? ----- Yes ..No
 - b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ----- Yes ..No
 - c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ----- Yes ..No
 - d. A change in ownership? ----- Yes ..No
- If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes , was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ----- Yes ..No

Jennifer Waltrip

February 15, 2012

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection
February 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On February 15, 2012, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection. The Department appreciates the help of Ms. Dee Thompson during the inspection.

The crematory was not in operation at the time of the inspection. Ms. Thompson indicated that the opacity meter was recently replaced and the old meter was rebuilt and stored onsite for a backup. Records of temperature recordings were well maintained and indicated compliance with the permit limits.

Records of preventative maintenance, adjustments, calibrations, and corrective maintenance were not being maintained. Ms. Thompson indicated that the unit is checked regularly. We reviewed the operator manual for the crematory unit and Ms. Thompson stated she would create a check sheet and also contact the manufacturer to ensure the crematory unit is maintained as required. Ms. Thompson indicated records will be maintained in the future. A follow-up inspection is planned to confirm.