

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Room 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 17, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested deethompson@embarqmail.com

Ms. Dee Thompson Animal Services Director Panhandle Animal Welfare Society, Inc. 752 Lovejoy Road Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548

Dear Ms. Thompson:

On February 10, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910050. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:									
KE-INSI ECTION (FOI)	ARING COM LAINT NO								
AIRS ID#: 0910050 DATE: <u>2/10/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:38 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:07 AM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: PANHANDLE ANIMAL WELFARE-FT WALTON BEACH									
FACILITY LOCATION: 752 LOVEJOY RD									
FORT WALTON BEA	ACH 32548								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEE THOMPSON Email: deethompson@embarqmail.com CONTACT NAME: DEE THOMPSON Email: deethompson@embarqmail.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/10/2008 / 1/10/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)243-1525 Mobile: Mobile:									
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Sam Nelson Brief Notes:		(check ✓ only one box for each question)							
Is the Authorized Representative still DEE THOMPS If no, who is?:	SON?	YesNo							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still DEE THOMPSON? If no, who is?:	update within 30 days?								
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1									

Emissions Unit Section 1 - ANIMAL CREMATION INCINERATOR

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one each question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	× Ye	
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	rged unit.	s 🗀No
 3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Ye	s 🗌No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after th	□ N/A ⊠ Ye te test? ⊠ Ye	
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check box for	only one each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit a. Operating capacity during test?	t	_
 b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	l operations?	s 🔲No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Ye	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	it	s 🖾No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	l operations?	s 🔲No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up	Ye	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and	CO standards?	s 🖾No
If yes, what reason?		

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			only one
		box 1	for each	question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?		Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale	e: 1-10 (worst)
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the			
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \square 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?			
	 All temperature measurements All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	- 🖂	Yes	□No
ł	(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	Ħ	Yes	□No
	(4) Adjustments		Yes	□No
	(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		Yes	⊠No
	(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings		Yes	□No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)		Yes	⊠No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		1 03	
	exceeds 15% opacity?		Yes	□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		Yes	□No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended mannerhance schedule:			
ı —			eck 🗹	only one
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box	for each	question)
1	If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:			
1.	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat			
	process begins in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
2.	If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat		3 7	□ .
		IXI	Yes	1 1 180
	process begins in the primary chamber?		Yes	No
		(ch	eck 🗹	only one
P	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(ch	eck 🗹	
P		(ch	eck 🗹	only one
	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(ch	eck 🗹 for each	only one
		(ch	eck 🗹 for each	only one
	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor	(ch	eck 🗹 for each	only one question)
1.	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	(ch	eck 🗹 for each	only one question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	(ch	eck 🗹 for each rs, Yes	only one question)
1.	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	(ch box	eck 🗹 for each	only one question)

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			only one question)		
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacture Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedure shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characterial If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 	es during startup,stics?		□No □No □No □No □No		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	ANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of 	of the facility or any emissions unit strative change at the facility?	s or Yes	⊠No □No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	ent?ubstantially different?	Yes Yes	☐No ☑No ☑No ☑No ☑No ☐No		
Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/	2/10/2011 Date of Inspection 2/10/2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			

COMMENTS: On February 10, 2011, an unannounced general air permit compliance inspection was conducted at the Panhandle Animal Welfare Society, Inc. The cremation unit was in operation at the time of the inspection and no objectionable odors or visible emissions were observed during the inspection. Good records of cremations and operating conditions are being maintained. A visible emissions test was last performed on June 11, 2010. Test results indicate 0% opacity during the 60 minute test.