

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	ISPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	ARMS UPDAT
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:	11/01/11 NB
A	IRS ID#: 0810064 DA	TE: <u>11/01/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1020</u>	DEPART:	1030
FA	ACILITY NAME: BR	ADENTON READY-MIX			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	N: 1709 9TH ST E			·
		BRADENTON 34	208		
C	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: ONTACT NAME: D Email: NTITLEMENT PERIO		5/2012	PHONE: (770)392-536 Mobile: PHONE: (770)392-536 Mobile:	
PA	ART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-CO	<u> </u>) NIFICANT Non-COMPI	LIANCE
	Name(s) of facility rep	resentative(s):			(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2.		resentative still DAVID GUI	LLAUME?	································	☐ Yes ☐No
3.		ility provide an administrativitil DAVID GUILLAUME?			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
		ting VE test(s) during today unce authority notified at least			YesNo YesNo

Emissions Unit Section 2 -Batch Plant Cement Silo w/Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> Date of last inspection: <u>03/20/2009</u> Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Z.	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		⊠ No ⊠ No
1	operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	*
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	□ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	☐ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		☐ No
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	Yes	☐ No
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	□ No
	duration?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	Yes Yes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	☐ Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 -Batch Plant Fly Ash Silo/Baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

	PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 03/20/2009 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	 a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		⊠ No ⊠ No
	operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 35 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	DADT II. STACK EMISSIONS from a sile, weigh hopper/hotebox) or other		
r	PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
]	1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not loading rate?		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	Yes h.	□ No
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		☐ No
	duration?3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration?minu	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	? 🗌 Yes	□ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	No No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	. —	∐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	☐ Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -Batch Plant Slag Silo w/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 03/20/2009	(check 🗹 box for eac	
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		⊠ No ⊠ No
operation?d. Date of last VE test: 09/08/2008		☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	N/A Yes	□ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during If not, what was the problem (if known)?	g the last VE test? Yes	□ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(also It 🗹	1
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check √ box for each	•
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this	s site visit? Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-resulted in the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit of the first opacity limit. If not, what was the problem (if known)? 		No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the l that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No	N/A – silo not loaded during in	
 e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice. f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour 	tice? Yes	· 🔲 No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(3) = g(3)$		☐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions to	est?	☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the duration?	Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duh. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a	dust collector which is separate	`
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hoppe conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching. What was the batching during the batching du	ng rate and duration? Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during th a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	is site visit? Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six- c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limi d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	minute average. it? Yes	☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5-Batch Plant Weigh Hopper - Truck Load-out subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: <u>03/20/2009</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		□ No □ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \square Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not loade e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to he 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	Yes Yes ✓	□ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	☐ Yes	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	☐ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the ex units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other a permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel of for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	consumption Yes No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department 	Yes No Yes No h all Yes No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air go permit and Department rules?	eneral

CATABLE PLANT:		
MINDLE I LIMIT.		k ☑ only one
e facility: stationary \(\square\); relocatable \(\square\); or consisting rete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing p	of both stationary and relocatable	each question) on 2.)
e relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix ceme		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	ent and $ ext{}_{\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot}$ $ ext{}_{\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot}$ $ ext{}_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}$ $ ext{}_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}$	es 🗌 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c b		,s
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departr		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busin	ness day prior to changing location?	es 🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation		_ ,
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five		es 🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation		□ 3.7
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le	east five business days prior to relocation? Ye	es 📙 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a se	eparate air construction or air operation permit.	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission		:
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rou		es 🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose?		- د ــــا
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how	v long it was	
co-located at the permitted facility?	Ye	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in dura		es 🔲 No
CHANGES	(check	c ☑ only one
		each question)
Administrative Changes:		Jaou question,
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re		— .,
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3		s 🗌 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership	\$	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	\ Ye	
a. Installation of any new process equipment:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- No
D. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace	out?	=
" A Paniscement of evicting equinment with equinment that	cement?	s 🔲 No
d A change in ownership?	cement? Ye is substantially different? Ye	s 🔲 No s 🔲 No
d. A change in ownership?	cement? Ye is substantially different? Ye	s
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re	Ye Ye Ye	s 🔲 No s 🔲 No
d. A change in ownership?	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership?4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change?	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership?4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Nedin Bahtic	Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change?	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Nedin Bahtic Inspector's Name (Please Print)	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted 11/01/11 Date of Inspection	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Nedin Bahtic Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye Ye	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership?	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted 11/01/11 Date of Inspection N/A	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Nedin Bahtic Inspector's Name (Please Print)	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted 11/01/11 Date of Inspection	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? Nedin Bahtic Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted 11/01/11 Date of Inspection N/A Approximate Date of Next Inspection	s No s No s No
d. A change in ownership?	registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 11/01/11 Date of Inspection N/A Approximate Date of Next Inspection pply.	s No No No No No

vehicles on site.
This facility is co-located with facility ID No. 0810220.