OWERTAL PROTECTION	
Ser Vare	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO					
AIRS ID#: 0810064 DATE: <u>03/20/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30am</u>	DEPART: <u>10:45am</u>				
FACILITY NAME: BRADENTON READY-MIX						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1709 9TH ST E						
BRADENTON 34208						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID GUILLAUME PHONE: (770)392-5300						
CONTACT NAME:	PHON	Е:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/20 (effective date) (end date)	12					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE		NT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
<ul> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	a), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the lo ading rate, or at least at the mi eration controlled by the silo of tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test? ing rate representative of the r ation are controlled by a dust of ns tests of the weigh hopper (h	Yes       No         and conveying equipment       Yes       No         ading of the silo conducted       No       No         ading of the silo conducted       Yes       No         nimum 25 tons per hour rate,       Yes       No         dust collector? (If answer       Yes       No         aswer is "No" then       Yes       No         onormal batching rate and       Yes       No         collector, which is separate       No       No         batcher) dust collector       Yes       No				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  Yes No				
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes  No</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No</li> </ul>				

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check I only one box.</i> )
<ul> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?</li> <li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?</li> <li>c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li> <li>c) The sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	emissions?	Yes 🗌 No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌 No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes 🗌 No
)	) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\Box$	Yes 🗌 No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?---- Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Wendy D. Simmons

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/20/2009

Date of Inspection

none until further notice.

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** No pre-inspection review was conducted for this facility inspection. Inspection Findings: This facility was not in operation during my visit to the site. Access gates to the facility were closed and locked. This facility had Visible Emissions (VE) testing on September 8, 2009 on all of its emission units (EU's). On 09/23/2009 I spoke with Mr. Hank Belcher, Environmental Safety and Health Director for Preferred Materials. He stated this facility has been "moth balled" and all employees have been laid off. Mr. Belcher recently sent a list of "moth balled" facilities that will not be operated, but permits will continue to exist, to Mr. Dick Dibble of DEP in Tallahassee. Mr. Belcher offered to send that information to me and I provided my email address. Information is attached to this inspection report. Next test date was changed to 12/31/2010.