

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

December 13, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested suecu@readymixusa.com

Ms. Sue Cumming Environmental Coordinator Ready Mix USA, LLC 2570 Ruffner Road Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Cummings:

On December 2, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910048. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradbon

RB/cs/c

Enclosure

c: Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager: wileyw@readymixuas.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	AINT/DISCOVER'	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0910048 DA	TE: <u>12/2/2010</u>	ARRIVE:	10:15 AM	DEPART: <u>10:58 AN</u>	1	
FACILITY NAME: CR	ESTVIEW PLANT	•				
FACILITY LOCATION: 4565 ANTIOCH RD CRESTVIEW 32536-5000						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIN CHRISTIE Email: ErinC@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: WILEY WILLOUGHBY Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/11/2009 / 4/11/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (205)986-4800 Mobile: (205)314-9942 PHONE: (850)785-1934 Mobile: (850)258-1634						
		Facility Sect	ion			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATU	(check only	one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTI	RODUCTORY MEETING	<u>G</u>		(check	✓ only one	
box for each question) 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Jason Harwood						
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?: Sue C	resentative still ERIN CHR Cummings	JSTIE?		Yes	⊠No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? YesNo Is the facility contact still WILEY WILLOUGHBY?						
. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						
Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions						
DADTI. EUE DEVIEN	V PRIOR TO INSPECTI		1 to Reasonable 1		7 .	
TARTI. FILE REVIEV	TRIOR TO MSI ECTIV	<u></u>		(check box for ea	✓ only one ach question)	
If not: a. Did the insp b. If tested: (_	: 5/19/2010 use reasonable precautions ector perform a general VE	E test (20% opacity) visible emissions <)? 	📙 Yes	☐ No	

	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. acconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ box for each	-
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- 🛚 Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes	□ No □ No
C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(abaala [7]	anly one
		(check ☑ box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	□ No□ No□ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar		?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption - 🔀 Yes	☐ No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	- 1
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	🛛 Yes	□ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No
 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following) 	(check of box for each of question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		□ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]		□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pen and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? 	e)?	□ No□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	□ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹 box for each of	- 1
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or - 🛛 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	mitted -	☐ No

Chris Stoll		12/2/2010	
	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
/s/		12/2/2011	
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: On December 2, 2010, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Crestview facility located in Okaloosa County. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection. Mr. Wiley Willoughby, who is the operations manager, assisted me during the inspection.

Emissions units consist of three storage silos, one for cement and two for flyash. Particulate emissions are controlled by a baghouse located on top of each of the storage silos. The facility is equipped with an enclosed shoot and spraybar to control emissions from the truck loading area. All production records are tracked electronically.

According to facility personnel, fugitive emissions from the yard are controlled by scraping and wetting the yard as needed. The facility appeared to be well maintained and operating in accordance with the conditions of the air general permit.

Visible emissions testing were last conducted on August 20, 2010. Test results indicate 0% opacity for all three baghouses. As a reminder, testing for 2011 shall be conducted prior to December 31, 2011.