

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE	E: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV  ARMS COMPLAINT N	· / —		
AIRS ID#: 0810045	DATE: <u>12/30/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30 am</u>	DEPART: <u>12:50</u>	pm_	
FACILITY NAME:	MANATEE COUNTY ANIMA	AL SERVICES			
FACILITY LOCATI	ION: 305 25th Street West	t			
	PALMETTO 3422	21-2753			
OWNER/AUTHORI	ZED REPRESENTATIVE: 1	KRIS WEISKOPF PHON	NE: (941)742-5933		
CONTACT NAME:	Lori Bell	PHON	NE: (941)742-5933		
ENTITLEMENT PE	<b>RIOD:</b> 2/8/2007 / 2/8/201 (effective date) (end date				
DADEL NODECT					
IN COMPLIA	ON COMPLIANCE STATUS  ANCE MINOR Non-CO		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	Į.	
		REMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, l	F.A.C.		
(check <b>☑</b> approp 1. Were there any	oriate box(es))  oriote box(es))	?		☐ Yes ⊠	No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ approp</li> <li>Were there any</li> <li>Was a visible 6</li> <li>62-297, F.A.C</li> </ol>	oriate box(es))  oriote box(es))  oriote box(es))  oriote box(es))  oriote box(es))  oriote box(es))  oriote box(es))	?this site visit according to EPA M	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠	] No ] No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ approp</li> <li>Were there any</li> <li>Was a visible 6</li> <li>62-297, F.A.C</li> <li>In order to den days prior to the</li> </ol>	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected a constraint conducted during an one of the conducted during an one of the confidence o	this site visit according to EPA Meliance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (1	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	] No
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate appropri</li></ol>	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected armissions test conducted during an onstrate individual source complex AGP Notification form submit (j), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Melance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (I		_
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate appropri</li></ol>	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected a constraint individual source components (j), F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	this site visit according to EPA Means of the site visit according to the site v	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter description issions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Instantiate of the standards testing de 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by	Yes □  Rule  Yes □	] No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate appropr</li></ol>	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected a emissions test conducted during .)? nonstrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submit (j), F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA Mediance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to obliance were the remaining application form submission? (Rull or below the requirements of 100 ourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, I	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter descriptions test conducted 60 deach anniversary date? (Institute of the first of the	Yes □  Rule  Yes □	No No
(check ☑ approp 1. Were there any 2. Was a visible of 62-297, F.A.C. 3. In order to den days prior to the 62-296.401(6) 4. In order to den completed wir a) Carbon Movolume, dry bar 10 (Ref.: Chap b) Oxygen tessic) Particulate dry standard of (Ref.: Chapter	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected? emissions test conducted during .)? nonstrate individual source comp ne AGP Notification form submi (j), F.A.C.) nonstrate individual source comp thin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submi (c) of the comp thin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submi conoxide (CO) emissions equal to asis, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hoter 62-297, F.A.C.)? t performed according to EPA N matter emissions test with result ubic foot (ft³) of flue gas, correct 62-297, F.A.C.)?	this site visit according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Its equal to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter desissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? (Institute of the each anniversary date?	Yes □  Rule □Yes □ □Yes □ □Yes □ □Yes □	] No ] No ]No
(check ☑ approp 1. Were there any 2. Was a visible of 62-297, F.A.C 3. In order to dendays prior to the 62-296.401(6) 4. In order to denday completed with a) Carbon Moder volume, dry bath of the completed with a completed with a completed with a completed with a complete with a complete dry standard complete complete complete complete complete complete dry bath of the complete co	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected emissions test conducted during .)? monstrate individual source compare AGP Notification form submit (j), F.A.C.) monstrate individual source compathin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submit emissions equal to asis, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hoter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	this site visit according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, First equal to or below the requirements of 100 ourly average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter desissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? (Institute of the each anniversary date)	Yes □  Rule □Yes □ □Yes □ □Yes □ □Yes □ □Yes □	No No No No No
(check ☑ appropriate appropriate in the complete description of the complete descript	oriate box(es))  or objectionable odor(s) detected emissions test conducted during .)? nonstrate individual source comple AGP Notification form submit (j), F.A.C.) nonstrate individual source completin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submit thin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submit thin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submit thin 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submit form the foliation of the foliation form for the foliation form for the foliation foliation	this site visit according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Its equal to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter  dissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? (Interpretate the standards testing let 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)  Disparts per million by cording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)?  ents of 0.080 grains per let of EPA Method 5  derers recommended  dentical crematory unit? compliance test?	Yes	No No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	emnerati	res in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbuetion	zono in
		_
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		∐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	cording of	f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	<u> </u>	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	∐ No
2) Monitoring device		∐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		∐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		∐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	<b>∑</b> Yes	∐ No
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes	☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	$\boxtimes$ Yes	☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	$\boxtimes$ Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	Yes	☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	_	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> ?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		_
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne.	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	<u> </u>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic		110
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u> </u>	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	$\Box v_{ec}$	⊠ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils thick?	□ <b>v</b> ,,,	□ Ma
	∐Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	<b>□v</b> <sub>z</sub> ,	M M-
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	∐Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	⊠ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	☐ Yes	∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment wither</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to compare the comparency of the comparency of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?</li></ol>	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	⊠No □No □No □No □No □No	
Wendy D. Simmons/Joe Panetta	12/30/200	)8	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	02/18/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspec	ction	

COMMENTS: No odors were noted upon arrival at the facility. According to Ms. Lori Bell, in July B & L came out and conducted an overhaul on the unit. The facility was unsuccessful in getting the unit's manufacturer to respond to their requests. Maintenance records were provided upon request as well as copies of chart recording records. Maintenance logs were reviewed by Mr. Joe Panetta. Mr. Panetta suggested making a spreadsheet for maintenance logs. A review of chart recorder records from October, November, and December revealed some documentation of required information was incomplete. On October 2<sup>nd</sup> the chart recorder record had a temperature drop below 1600° F for more than 1 hour. Mr. Panetta inquired about the drop and Ms. Bell said she was not sure what happened. After much discussion, Mr. Kris Weiskopf (responsible official) stated he believed the facility may have had a power failure. Mr. Panetta explained the necessity of notifying the Department and documenting when malfunctions occur and what corrective actions are taken. Mr. Weiskopf agreed to send a notification to the Department describing the incident and did so on 12/30/2008. Mr. Panetta also instructed Ms. Bell on what information was required to be on chart recorder records. Crematory unit was in operation during our site visit and inspectors were escorted to unit by Cheryl for the purposes of checking equipment set up and operation observation. Cheryl, crematory equipment operator, showed unit functions and explained loading procedures. Temperature guage indicated secondary chamber temperature of 1626°F. Crematory unit started at about 11:30 am. A Field Warning Notice (FWN) was issued for temperature drops and incomplete Record keeping issues and Mr. Panetta suggest a follow-up inspection to be done in about 30 days for the purpose of checking corrections to Chart recordings. Compliance assistance and a copy of permit rules were provided to Lori Bell. Need to reinspect & check recordkeeping from previous inspection which occurred on 06/14/2007. Boxes that are not checked above no longer apply due to rule changes in January 2007. A follow-up inspection has be set for March 6, 2009.