

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	NSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/I	DISCOVERY (CI)	12/12/11 N
A	IRS ID#: 0810043 DA	TE: <u>12/12/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1050</u>	DEPART	Γ: <u>1105</u>
F	ACILITY NAME: BR	ADENTON RM FACILITY	Y		
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	N: 4415 15TH ST E		•	·
		BRADENTON 34	1203-3613		
C	WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jasonp.jones@ ONTACT NAME: Ja Email: jasonp.jones@ NTITLEMENT PERIO	ASON JONES Deemex.com	14	PHONE: (813)269-12 Mobile: (813)363-6 PHONE: (813)269-12 Mobile: (813)363-6	112 240
PA	ART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> IN COMPLIANO	COMPLIANCE STATUS		x) GNIFICANT Non-COMP	PLIANCE
D.	ADT II. ONCITE INTO	DODUCTORY MEETING			_
		RODUCTORY MEETING	- .		(check ✓ only one box for each question)
1.	Brief Notes:	oresentative(s): <u>Dominick Page</u>	<u>assio (941-722-4378)</u>		
2.	Is the Authorized Repril If no, who is?:	resentative still JASON JON -	IES?		⊠ Yes □No
3.		ility provide an administrati till JASON JONES?			YesNo YesNo
4.		cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 01/27/09 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	Yes	□ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate.	☐ Yes	□ No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	Yes	□ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	☐ Yes	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 01/27/09 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		☐ No ☐ No
operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test If not, what was the problem (if known)?	t? X Yes	□ No
DADT II. STACK EMISSIONS from a sile, weigh homosylectabox) on other		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the sil		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection. No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? **EXES: they continue on to questions a 1) a 2) helper **Exercises NO they skin a 1) a 2) and a		□ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and $g.1$) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchin	Yes	□ No
duration?————————————————————————————————————	Yes	☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector w	hich is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and durat 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? milesticated as a silver of the weigh hopper (batcher) duration and durat conducted while batching rate and durat conducted while batching rate? tons/hour.	ion? 🗌 Yes	□ No
 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. 	Yes	⊠ No □ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

Emissions Unit Section

5-CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central dust collector, new subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1. Date of last inspection: 01/27/09 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencin operation? d. Date of last VE test: 05/11/11 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during If not, what was the problem (if known)?	g the last VE test? Yes No	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this	site visit? Yes No	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-n c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit If not, what was the problem (if known)? 		
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the letthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in pract f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour 	N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions te	st?	
During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the duration? What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration h. I) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a	ration? minutes Yes No	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hoppe	er (batcher) dust collector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batchin 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching dura	ntion? minutes.	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during thi a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-r c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	√ ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- 🛛 Yes - 🕅 Yes - 🕅 Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ie/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
_			
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	<u>—</u>	□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

CATABLE PLANT:			
ATABLE I BANT.		(check ☑ box for each	
e facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of both			·
rete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?	(If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below. a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day		☐ Yes	□ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notif	ication Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin			☐ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifice to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No
at the appropriate Separation of South In Frogram at 1945 in	o outsides duys prior to retoruiteix.		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate		nit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu		2 Vac	□ No
If YES, what was the purpose?	rpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage)	1: [1 es	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it		<i>,</i>	
co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -		Yes Yes	∐ No
CHANGES			
		(check ☑	•
Administrative Changes:		box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	f the facility or authorized representat	box for each ive not	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation.	f the facility or authorized representation of the facility or any emissions uni	box for each ive not ts or	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits of the provide written notification within 30 days.	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unit inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unit inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unitainistrative change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes	question) No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital unistrative change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes - Yes	question) No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration of the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial.	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes	question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes	question) No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations of the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital strative change at the facility? sof the change?? stantially different?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations of the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital strative change at the facility? sof the change?? stantially different?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsid. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital strative change at the facility? sof the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital strative change at the facility? sof the change?? stantially different?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsid. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	f the facility or authorized representate on of the facility or any emissions unital strative change at the facility? sof the change?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representation of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representation of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	f the facility or authorized representation of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?	box for each ive not ts or Yes	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: Note: All questions left unanswered do not apply.

Aggregate piles appeared to be wet. Annual VE testing on EUs 001, 002, and 005 was done on 05/11/11. EU 004 (slag silo) is inactive. One ready-mix truck was loaded during inspection; no opacity was observed.