

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		DISCOVERY (CI)	OB/OL/II N
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0810035 DA	TE: <u>07/28/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>	DEPART:	<u>1545</u>
FACILITY NAME: FLO	ORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES-N	MANATEE PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1519 24TH AVE E			
	PALMETTO 34221-6	530		·
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: burkholderj@ CONTACT NAME: M Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	IIKE NEES		PHONE: (813)247-762 Mobile: PHONE: (941)351-961 Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION ☑ IN COMPLIANO	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	·) NIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
	RODUCTORY MEETING oresentative(s): Art Gadomski, S	Superintendent (941-80	09-2296)	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still JAMES BURKH	IOLDER?		⊠ Yes □No
	ility provide an administrative u till MIKE NEES?			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conduc If yes, was the complia	cting VE test(s) during today's in ance authority notified at least 15	nspection?5 days in advance?		∑ Yes

1 -CCB Plant-weigh scale/batchr/truckloadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: 06/27/08 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ed	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	⊠ Yes	□ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	- ⊠ Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No

2 - CCB Plant-east split silo(cement)compart.#1,w/baghouse North subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 06/27/08 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\] N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Prop Brints, People Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Vards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	_	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes Yes	□ No □ No

3 -CCB Plant-east split silo(cement)compart.#2,w/baghouse South subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 06/27/08 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	nfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes □ No o
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-west split silo(flyash)compart.#1,w/baghouse North subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: 06/27/08 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	(check ☑ box for each of the control of the contro	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to c emissions by: 	control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbor particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind e particulate matter from stock piles? 	Yes necessary to Yes of the orne Yes entrainment of	□ No□ No□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to		□ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

5-CCB Plant-west split silo(slag)compart.#2,w/baghouse South subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 06/27/08 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:	onfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes No to
control emissions?	
particulate matter?	t of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No

Facility Section (continued)

			heck 🗹 of for each q		
1.	. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	Io
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	∑ No	o
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No	0
3.	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes	No No No No	0 0 0
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM		_ ≤ 1.00?	,	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			☐ No	0
<u> </u>					
		•	neck 🗹 o for each q	•	1
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		,	<u>~</u> 7 ъ₁	
2.	devices? Does the owner or operator:	_		⊠ No	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		Yes	☐ No)
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No)
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No	o

			
RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check	
e facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting or rete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pl		box for each ng question 2.	• ′
e relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemerator or onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	nt and		☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c be			
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departmental e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation 	ess day prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	e business days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a se		rmit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rout If YES, what was the purpose?		e)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how			
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durat	ion?		☐ No☐ No
CHANGES		(check	
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor	location of the facility or any emissions upor administrative change at the facility?	nits or ⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		🛚 Yes	☐ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacec. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that	ement?is substantially different?		⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?	is substantially different?	Yes	⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg		bmitted 	□ No
Nedin Bahtic	07/28/11		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Nedin Baltic	07/28/14		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next In	spection	

COMMENTS: Note: All questions left unanswered do not apply.

Visible emissions testing was taking place during inspection. Testing was performed by Mr. Kevett Mickle of Grove Scientific & Engineering. VE tests on EUs 003 and 005 started at 1400, while tests on EUs 002 and 004 started at 1510. No opacity was observed. Two trucks were observed being loaded with concrete mix; no opacity was observed from the central baghouse (EU 001). Sprinklers were in operation during inspection. Aggregate piles were observed to be wet.