

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0810006 DATE: <u>01/27/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:34 am</u> DEPART: <u>1:06 pm</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PALMETTO RM & BLOCK FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 600 9TH ST W			
PALMETTO, FL 34221			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES PHONE: (813)269-1240			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
DARTH, TESTING DECORDIZEDING REQUIDEMENTS. DL. (2.20/ 414 E.A.C.			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector			
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tal emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, st 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-semissions?	vards, which shall include one or more of the follock piles, and yards?	<pre></pre>	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————			
Wendy D. Simmons	01/27/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
	01/2012		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_	

COMMENTS: Pre-Inspection Review: Testing on block plant will be conducted on this day. Last testing was conducted in March of 2008. Inspection findings: According to Mike at ready mix office, the ready mix plant has been shutdown for about 4 or 5 months and will likely be that way for a while. Mr. Scott Saumell will contact DEP with shutdown date of RM plant for compliance files. Sprinklers were in operation upon my arrival. Witnessed Visible Emissions (VE) testing on Block Plant and conducted a concurrent VE on Emission Unit #10. See attached. VE tesing was received on February 26, 2009. On January 22, 2010, the Department received a letter from Mr. Jason Jones dated January 20, 2010 stated the Ready Mix Plant at this location was shut down on August 28, 2008. See attached copy of letter. Changed next test date for Emission Units 4 - 9 to 12/31/2010.