

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 18, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested cwyrick@preferredmaterials.com

Mr. Court Wyrick Preferred Materials 1787 F.I.M. Boulevard Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32547

Dear Mr. Wyrick:

On May 9, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7770043. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| IN   | SPECTION TYPE:  | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)<br>RE-INSPECTION (FUI)                      |  | T/DISCOVER   | Y (CI)                  |                         |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| ΑI   | RS ID#: 7770043 DA  | ГЕ: <u>5/9/2011</u>   | ARRIVE: 10:                            | <u>20 AM</u> | DEPART: <u>10:35 AM</u> |                         |  |  |  |
| FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-FORT WALTON BEACH   |   |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 1787 F I M BLVD   |   |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
| FORT WALTON BEACH 32547  |   |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
| CC   | OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HANK BELCHER Email: hbelcher@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: MIKE BIAGINI Email: mbiagini@preferredmaterials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/13/2009 / 11/13/2014 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (813)384-3025 Mobile: (352)279-0404 PHONE: (407)402-4861 Mobile: (407)402-4861 |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
| Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE |   |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |              |                         |                         |  |  |  |
| 1.   |   | resentative(s): Alan Day  | <u>G</u>                               |              | (check 🗹<br>box for eac | only one<br>h question) |  |  |  |
|  | Is the Authorized Repr<br>If no, who is?:   | resentative still HANK BEL                                      | LCHER?                                 |              | X Yes                   | □No                     |  |  |  |
| 3.   | If different, did the fac<br>Is the facility contact s<br>If no, who is?:   | ility provide an administrat<br>till MIKE BIAGINI?              | tive update within 30 da               | ays?         |                         | □No<br>□No              |  |  |  |
| 4.   | Will facility be conducted If yes, was the compliant  | eting VE test(s) during today<br>ance authority notified at lea | y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance? | ?            | Yes Yes                 | ⊠No<br>□No              |  |  |  |

# Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions

|    | 1 - Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions   |                              |                       |
|----|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| PA | ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  | (check <b>✓</b> box for each | only one<br>question) |
|    | Date of last inspection: 06/17/2010  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Tyes                         | ☐ No<br>☐ No<br>☐ No  |
| PA | ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.   | (check ☑                     | only one              |
|    |   | box for each                 | •                     |
|    | confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and   | box for cach                 | question)             |
| Co | onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards  |                              |                       |
| 1. | Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:  | ined                         |                       |
|    | a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  |                              | □ No                  |
|    | 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?   | <del></del>                  | □ No                  |
|    | 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne   |                              |                       |
|    | particulate matter?   | _                            | ☐ No                  |
|    | 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?  | X Yes                        | ☐ No                  |
|    | b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  | X Yes                        | ☐ No                  |
| 2. | If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:   |                              |                       |
|    | a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?   | Yes                          | No No                 |
|    | b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  | Yes                          | ☐ No                  |

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

### **Facility Section (continued)**

| CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY   | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?                                       | 🖾 Yes 🔲 No                               |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the e units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3 Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?   | 3) or                                    |  |  |  |  |
| b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?  If YES, what other general permit units or activities?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + }{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr} + }{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \leq 1.00?$   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fue for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?  | el consumption<br>Yes                    |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL CONDITIONS   | (check <b>☑</b> only one                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | box for each question)                   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or al the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution contr devices?   | rol                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Does the owner or operator:     a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies w terms and conditions of the air general permit?   | vith all                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Departme to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air   | ent, access                              |  |  |  |  |
| permit and Department rules?   |  |  |  |  |  |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Is the facility: stationary \( \); relocatable \( \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> ) |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.   | ow. ) Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme<br/>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N</li></ul>   | s day prior to changing location? Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N   | business days following a relocation? Yes No otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] |  |  |  |  |
| to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at lea   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?  |   |  |  |  |  |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how l co-located at the permitted facility?  | Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration   | on? Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>CHANGES</u>  | (check ☑ only one box for each question)  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Changes:   | ,   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo   |   |  |  |  |  |
| operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?   Yes   No  |   |  |  |  |  |
| New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:   | days of the change? Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace</li></ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is   | s substantially different? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No                                 |  |  |  |  |
| d. A change in ownership?   | Yes No  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Chris Stoll   | 5/9/2011  |  |  |  |  |
| Chris Stoll  Inspector's Name (Please Print)  | 5/9/2011  Date of Inspection  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |

**COMMENTS:** On May 9, 2011, I conducted an unannounced compliance inspection at the Preferred Materials Fort Walton concrete batch facility. The facility was operating at the time of the inspection.

The facility emission units consist of two silos. Each silo is equipped with a dust collector to control fugitive emissions. Fugitive emissions from the loading spout are controlled by a spray bar. Visual emission testing for the two silos was last conducted on March 16, 2011, with passing results. The facility grounds appear to be well maintained and no fugitive emissions were noted leaving the site.