4

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV			
AIRS ID#: 7770043 DATE: <u>6/16/09</u> FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS-F FACILITY LOCATION: 1787 F I M BLVD FORT WALTON B OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CONTACT NAME: Alan Day, Plant Manager ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/1 (effective date) (end da	EACH 32547 DAVID GUILLAUME <b>PHON</b> <b>PHON</b> 5/2012	DEPART: <u>2:28 PM</u> NE: (770)392-5300 NE:		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUT</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batc controlled to the extent necessary to limit visil</li> <li>During visible emissions tests of the silo dust at a rate that is representative of the normal si unless such rate is unachievable in practice?4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batche to this question is "Yes", then continue on to a skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation du b) During the visible emissions test, was the I duration?</li> </ol> </li> <li>If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissible emissions test, was the I duration?</li></ul>	g this site visit according to EPA M chers), and other enclosed storage ble emissions to 5 percent opacity collector exhaust points was the lo lo loading rate, or at least at the m r) operation controlled by the silo questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If at 5.)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter          □Yes □ No         and conveying equipment         ?       □Yes □ No         oading of the silo conducted         inimum 25 tons per hour rate,          □Yes □ No         dust collector? (If answer         nswer is "No" then          □Yes □ No         normal batching rate and          □Yes □ No         collector, which is separate         (batcher) dust collector		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?  Yes No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to		
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes 🗌 No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)		
<ol> <li>Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No</li> </ol>		

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	)
-------------------------------	---

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check Zonly one box.*)

If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	∐Yes ∐ No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No
	<ul> <li>plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)</li></ul>

## PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xyes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
<ul> <li>recent notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?</li></ul>	

Jennifer Waltrip	June 16, 2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
$\Lambda$ , $\gamma$	L 2010

Inspector's Signature

June 2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Department representatives conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on June 16, 2009 at the Preferred Materials concrete batch plant located in Okaloosa County. The facility was not in operation at the time, but Alan Day, plant manager, was present to assist during the inspection.

A majority of the yard is paved. Areas left unpaved are covered in gravel and the yard is swept or watered down as needed to aid in controlling fugitive emissions. Aggregate is stored in three-sided concrete wind breaks which are equipped with sprinklers. Emissions from the weigh hopper are controlled by a spray bar, partial enclosure and vent which leads any particulate matter back to the batcher. There is also a fly ash silo and a cement silo, one with a cartridge filter and one with a baghouse. The annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted by Arlington Environmental Services, Inc., on March 5, 2009. Both tests were in compliance with the permit limits.

The following records were available during the inspection: weekly maintenance inspections on dust collectors and gaskets, baghouse maintenance history, dust maintenance log sheet and materials produced.

The permit reflects David Guillaume as the authorized representative for the facility. Department representatives were informed that Mr. Guillaume is no longer with the facility. The current President of Preferred Materials, Inc., is Robert F. Duke and the Environmental Health and Safety Manager is Hank Belcher. Please send a confirmation letter notifying the department of the new owner/authorized representative for the permitted facility.