

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7770043 DATE: 8/11/2006 ARRIVE: DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS - FORT WALTON BEACH		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1787 FIM Boulevard		
FORT WALTON BEACH		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: Alan Day, Plant Mgr. aday@rinker.com PHONE: (850)863-5040		
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/29/2004 / 7/29/2009 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.		
(check ✓ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☒ No		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
T		
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching pl emissions by:</li> </ol>	ant take reasonable precautions to control uncommed	
	, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	reas, stock piles, and yards? \overline \	
	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
emissions?		
	d other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	reas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No	
	of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	⊠Yes □ No	
	nitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  \overline{\overline{\text{\text{\text{\text{T}}}}} Yes \overline{\text{\tintert{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\titt{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\tintet{\text{\texi}\text{	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR	RES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?		
	nout replacement? Yes No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantial		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	2-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office?		
Charles Norman	8-11-2006	
Charles Norman	8-11-2000	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	rr	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> No truck loading was done during the insp	ection. The last load for the day had been completed prior to my	
arrival. I had the operator turn on the spray bar. It worked w	well - good coverage. The weigh hopper vents into truck to mitigate	
	try road is clay with a small amount of gravel. Water is available if	
	The cement silo was loaded during the inspection. No emissions were	
seen from the baghouse. Passed visible emissions testing Ju	ne 9, 2006.	
	III	