

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

February 29, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested csgjc@panhandle.rr.com

Mr. James Campbell, Owner Fort Walton Concrete 500 Valastics Avenue Valparaiso, Florida 32580

Dear Mr. Campbell:

On February 22, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7774803. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

(and Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

c: John Thompson, Fort Walton Concrete: ftwal26@yahoo.com Matthew Parker, P.E., JP-Engineering: parkermatt@cox.net Zachary Sims, Fort Walton Concrete: ftwal26@yahoo.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)								
AIRS ID#: 7774803 DATE: <u>2/22/12</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:38 A.M.</u> DEPART:	10:45 A.M.							
FACILITY NAME: VALPARAISO CCB PLANT								
FACILITY LOCATION: 500 VALASTICS AVE								
VALPARAISO 32580-1146								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ZACHARY SIMS Email: CONTACT NAME: JOHN THOMPSON Email: fwc.office@yahoo.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/29/2011 / 10/29/2016 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)243-811 Mobile: PHONE: (850)243-811								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one							
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ZACHARY SIMS? If no, who is?: James Campbell, Owner.	☐ Yes ⊠No							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JOHN THOMPSON? If no, who is?: Zachary Sims, Manager	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☑No							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes							

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-cement (1) & flyash (1)silos,ea w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 1/29/10 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check ☑ box for each which will be box for each which will box for each which will be box for each will be box for each which will be box for each will be box for	•
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		☐ No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	led during in Yes	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra	h. Yes	☐ No☐ No
duration?	Yes tes	☐ No
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	Yes Yes.	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.		No No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes	□ No□ No□ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparents		≤ 1.00	0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🔲	Yes	☐ No
G]	ENERAL CONDITIONS	•		only one question)
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 🗆 - 🖾	Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No
	permit and Department rules?	\square	Ves	\square No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT:			only one question)
1.	Is the facility: stationary \square ; relocatable \boxtimes ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>			•
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	⊠ No
(a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] 		Yes	□ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		Yes Yes	□ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		105	1NU
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage) If YES, what was the purpose?	? 🗌	Yes	No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- 🔲	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
Cl	HANGES			only one
A	dministrative Changes:	box	for each	only one question)
Ac 1. 2.	dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box ive n ts or	for each ot Yes	
Ac 1. 2.	dministrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ive nts or	for each ot Yes	n question)
Ac 1. 2. Ne 3.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ive n ts or	ot Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	n question) No No No No No No
Ac 1. 2. Ne 3.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ive n ts or	ot Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No
Ac 1. 2. Ne 3.	Mere there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	ive n ts or	ot Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No
Au 1. 2. Ne 3.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? wor Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submand days prior to the change?	ive n ts or	ot Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No

COMMENTS: On February 22, 2012, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of Fort Walton Concrete in Okaloosa County. The Department would like to than Mr. John Thompson for his assistance following the inspection.

At the time of the inspection cement was being loaded into the silo and no emissions were noted. Sand and aggregate were stockpiled with no wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter. The site consisted of dirt and gravel, which is a potential source of fugitive emissions. The paved road leading to the facility showed evidence of dirt leaving the site. Please note that Rule 62-296.414(2), Fla. Admin. Code, requires reasonable precautions to be taken to control unconfined emissions from truck loading and unloading areas, roads, parking areas, stock piles and yards.