

## $\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 7770420 001	<b>DATE:</b> <u>1/10/2011</u>	ARRIVE: 11:50AM DEPART: 12:30PM
FACILITY NAME: P.A	.W Materials, Inc.	
FACILITY LOCATION	: Portable	
	Located at RE Purcell 15	550 Starkey Road, Largo FL
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	(AL: Richard Wohlfiel	PHONE:
CONTACT NAME: Ric	chard Wohlfiel?	PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: / 8/22/2013 (effective date) (end date)
	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	
☑ IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COMPL	JANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>DETERMINA</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> bo	ATION OF FACILITY TYPE/A	APPLICABILITY
	UBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60,	Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) nestions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)
elevator, belt conveyo mix asphalt facilities t	or, bagging operation, storage bir	cilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket n, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot dic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected or bin.)
	<del></del>	Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) <b>EXCEPT</b> those with **.)
grinding mills; facilities sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants	es not subject to subparts F (Port & crushed stone plants w/capacit	erground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or tland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> ties of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, s/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS - Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<u>Stack Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:	
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) Yes No	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No 2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?	
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?	
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity? Yes No	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? Yes No	

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as	
	Yes 🗌 No
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	
	Yes No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	
form submittal date?	Yes ∐ No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	D. N.
	Yes No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	D. N.T
	Yes No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted	and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	7 <b></b> NT.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?	
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?	
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and	
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]	
40 CTR Fait 00.070 – 00.070, Subpart 000, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 02-204.800, F.A.C.]	
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement	
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of exist	ting facility
and/or equipment:	ung ruenny
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading St	tation.
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated	<del>tution</del> ,
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	Yes 🛛 No
**b) for a Screening Operation,	165 🔼 110
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total	
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	Yes 🛛 No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,	165 🔼 110
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?	Yes 🛛 No
**d) for a Storage Bin,	100
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated	
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	Yes 🛛 No
Performance/Compliance Testing	100
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change	
	Yes No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to	1.0
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid	
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance	
test?	Yes No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar	
quarters?	Yes □ No
<u>.</u>	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	<del>-</del>
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	!
	!
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests	!
conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity	•
(using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission	· -
observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance wi	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	⊠Yes ☐ No
Process Changes  **11 Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a helt conveyor system? (If your	•
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your	□Yes ⊠ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	∐Yes ⊠ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:  **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	•
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	•
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart 000.)	□Yes □ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(	( <b>h</b> ).)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	<u> </u>
change?	□Yes □ No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠Yes ☐ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
number of the equipment, if available?	⊠Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	——— □ xr.
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠Yes ☐ No
PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ľ
(check <b>⊻</b> appropriate box(es))	Į.
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (□; 2) stationary (□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check Monly one box above.</i> )	
(NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the	har for
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer	
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from o	onsite
deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.)	□Yes ⊠ No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
	⊠Yes □ No
c) If this is a <u>stationary facility</u> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? [	∐Yes ∐ No

RT V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	Continued)
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpar	rt OOO
adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then produced by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.)	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	□Yes ⊠ No
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	☐Yes ☐ No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐Yes ☐ No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	∐Yes ∐ No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	Yes No
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant u	
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate und	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5	5.) □Yes ⊠ No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	Yes  No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility	
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an aspha	
plant?	\Box Yes \Box No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such a	
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CON	TROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral process	ing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
emissions by:	
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars locate crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop	points? \(\Sigma\)Yes \(\Boxed{\Boxes}\) No
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock	ck piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\text{Yes}} \bigsymbol{\text{No}}
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su emissions?	
<ol> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to r</li> </ol>	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind particulate matter from stock piles?	I breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of  Yes No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment	
matter?	
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	
PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – I A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	
b) alteration of existing process equipment without repla	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ	<u> </u>
recent notification form?	□Yes ⊠No
d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the own	ner submit a new and complete
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,	F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
local program office?	
	<u>January 10, 2011</u>
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	2012
Ingnactor's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Hispection

**COMMENTS:** See the attached Pinellas County inspection report form for additional information

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants (Non-OOO) – General Permits

F	ACI	LIT	Y: P.A.W Materials, I	nc.		<b>PERMIT ID:</b>	841
						DISTRICT:	Southwest
A	DDI	RES	S: Portable Hudson, FL				NE: 727-862-5956
				PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date	e: 8/22/2013
				7770420-007-AG		Renewal Date:	7/22/2013
		777	<b>70420 001</b>	7770120 007 AC		Test Date:	12/23/2011
			N UNIT DESCRIPTION: 24 Subpart OOO applies water sup	2 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crush pression	er: Steadmar	n Machine Company,	Model 4260 N-Grand
IN	SPI	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	CO	MPLIANCE STATU	S:
	Janu	ıary	10, 2011	☑ INS2 orINS		IN MNC	☐ SNC
	Ty	pe o	f Inspection:	Re-inspection	Complaint	Drive-by	Quarterly
				A. General Review:			
1.	_		nit File Review				Yes No
2.		Intro	oduction and Entry				∑ Yes ☐ No
		Con	nments: I met on site with the c	rusher operator Rick Green			
3.			ne Authorized Representative		1.4D		∑ Yes ☐ No
4.	<del>-</del> +		ne facility contact still: Richard	r. Wohlfiel was still the owner and	d AR		⊠ Yes □ No
Τ.			•	t wonner: ted him as the Facility contact, bi	ıt he is not or	ı site.	ĭ les ☐ No
5.	_			the notification form [Rule 62-2]			∑ Yes ☐ No
				s listed on metal plate on the sout			
			na Siam # 83010 20 x 44 cru =	sher unit. The unit is operated wi	ith a 900 Hoi	rsepower Cummings	Diesei generator.
Ι	M N	S N					
N	C	C		B. Specific Condition	s		
$\boxtimes$			the Department by phone prior	relocatable nonmetallic mineral protochanging location and submit ent no later than one (1) business	a Facility Re	elocation Notification	Form (DEP Form No.
			Comments: This facility is	or 🛚 is not a relocatable concre	ete batch plai	nt.	
			material from onsite natural de material, the owner or operator entrance and exit of the crushe <i>Comments:</i> The facility \( \subseteq do	mineral processing plants, except posits, and for all stationary nones shall have a water suppression str(s), the classifier screens, and the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung into the top of crusher, at the less does not operate a water sung the less does not operate a	netallic mine ystem with speconveyor draw appression sy	eral processing plants pray bars located at the rop points [62-210.3]	processing dry ne feeder(s), the 00(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.]
				mply with paragraph 62-296.320			
			precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exit (ii) Unconfined emissions that	might be generated from various a hall be controlled by using a wate of the crusher(s), the classifier so might be generated by vehicular ay bars) or effective dust suppres	activities thro er suppression creens, and the traffic or win	oughout a nonmetallic n system with spray b ne conveyor drop poin nd shall be controlled	e mineral processing pars located at the ints. by applying water (by

#### ${\bf Nonmetallic\ Mineral\ Processing\ Plants\ (Non-OOO)-General\ Permits}$

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The emission unit has water suppression hooked up from the water tanker on site. The R.E. Purcell facility water truck kept the yard wet to prevent unconfined emissions during the asphalt crushing.
			Visible Emissions test Method 9 performance. 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
			<b>Comments:</b> The emissions unit was not in operation long enough for performance of a test. The facility had performed a Method 9 test by consultant on 12/23/10
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.]
			<b>Comments:</b> The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 7/21/2013. This is not applicable at this time.
$\boxtimes$			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]
			<b>Comments:</b> The crushing operation is located $\boxtimes$ independently or $\square$ with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations $\square$ are $\boxtimes$ are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
$\boxtimes$			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]
			<b>Comments:</b> The crushing operation is located $\boxtimes$ independently or $\square$ with re-locatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations $\square$ are $\boxtimes$ are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
$\boxtimes$			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The facility **Does **Doe
			individual air general permit. Records are required, yes no. If required, records reviewed from Januay 2010 to December 2010. The maximum 12 month totals of 5206.5 gallons/ year of fuel and 127,187 tons/year of material processed. The fuel oil sulfur content by weight is 0.5%. The record keep by Mr. Green on his calendar refers to the daily operations for the amount of material crushed. The unit is typically operated 8 – 8½ hours/day and crushed 841 – 1029 tons / day for December 2010. The December monthly total was 11,363 tons crushed and 97.5 engine operation hours (see attached records)

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants (Non-OOO) – General Permits

			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation *\sis \subseteq is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of a routine function *\sin non-routine activity (_crushing of the accumulated asphalt stockpile_).
I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:  a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or  b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  Comments: There have been no changes requiring correction to the information in the current notification, which was sent on 12/8/10 to inform of relocation to the R.E. Purcell site.
			<b>Equipment Changes.</b> In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] <b>Comments:</b> There have been no changes to the equipment, no extra screening or conveyor belts have been added to the unit as specified by the permit.
			If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:  1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and  2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.  [62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]  Comments: The facility has not had any non compliance issues on the current site of operations at R.E. Purcell.
			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.  [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]  Comments: The permit expires on 8/22/2013. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 7/22/2013. This is not applicable at this time.

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants (Non-OOO) – General Permits

	M	S	
Ι	N	N	
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			The general permit is valid only for the specific activity indicated. Any deviation from the specified activity and the conditions for undertaking that activity shall constitute a violation of the permit. [62-210.300(4)(e)2., F.A.C.]  Comments: The source is being operated only to crush recycled asphalt, the activity for which it is permitted.
$\boxtimes$			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.]  Comments: The source is operating while using water to suppress unconfined emissions as its control device.
	D. Other:		
Clo	sing	Co	nference \times Yes \to No
	Other Comments: I informed Mr.Green I needed the fuel analysis and 12 month totals for the fuel and crushed material. He stated that information is kept by the facility office and to contact Cindy		
Inspector(s): Shea Jackson, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division			
	Signature(s) Date: January 21, 2011		
CO	NT	$\Lambda C$	CLOC? VES ACCESS? VES ARMe? VES

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\7770420 001 76940.doc

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/12/2011 / \_\_\_\_\_

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [The rock crusher was suppose to be located to this site by 12/8/2011, but had problem needing repair before could locate to this site.]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/12/2011 / \_\_\_\_

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [The rock crusher was on site, but the crusher was not in operation at the time of

this inspection]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/8/2011

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [The crusher was observed during inspection of R.E. Purcell and was not operating at this time.]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/10/2011

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** There were no emissions observed as the crusher was loaded by payloader and

the yard is being wetted to prevent unconfined emissions.]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/12/2011 / \_\_\_\_\_

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [This is the C13 PAW crusher unit Steadman emissions unit]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date:** 1/12/2011 /

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

Description: [The crusher operation had no visible emissions observed at this time]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/12/2011 / \_\_\_\_\_

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [The crusher uses this water tank to keep water slowing into the crusher to prevent unconfined emissions on the site.]

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date:** 1/12/2011

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

Description: [The crusher has a water supply from tanker wagon parked on site, and hose conveys water to different points on the crusher for the water suppression system.]

## P.A.W Materials, Inc

# Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** 76940 **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** 0420 001

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date:** 1/10/2011

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

Description: [The water nozzle is hanging over the first drop from the crusher to the conveyor belt to reduce unconfined emissions.

## P.A.W Materials, Inc

# Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** <u>76940</u> **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0420 001</u>

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date:** 1/10/2011

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

Description: [The crusher had a meter inside the cab to keep a total for the tons of material crushed during the operation on site. This is recalibrated when the equipment is moved

Portable, located at R.E. Purcell Construction 1550 Starkey Road, Largo



**Project Id:** 76940 **Permit No:** 7770420-007-AG **Arms Number:** 0420 001

**Inspector:** Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/12/2011 / \_\_\_\_\_

Source (EU): 242 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Steadman Machine Company, Model

4260 N-Grand Slam Plant-Subpart OOO applies

**Description:** [During and inspection of the R.E Purcell facility the crusher was in operation. No visible emissions were occurring from the loading of crusher or the drop points.]