

# $\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 7770420 001 DATE: 9/7/10 ARRIVE: 2:00 DEPART: 2:30
FACILITY NAME: P.A.W Materials, Inc.
FACILITY LOCATION: Portable
Hudson, FL
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Richard Wohlfiel PHONE:
CONTACT NAME: Richard Wohlfiel? PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2013 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/22/2008 / 8/22/2013 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check Ø only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY</u> <u>TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u> (check ✓ only <u>one</u> box)
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60.	
Appendix A)?	Yes No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point:	
**a) exceed <b>7%</b> percent opacity?	∏Yes ∏ No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	Yes No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	∏Yes ☐ No
Note: There are no stack emissions with this unit.	
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	☐Yes ☐ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	,
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\underline{10}\%$	
percent opacity?	☐Yes ☐ No
	☐Yes ☐ No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
	∐Yes ∐ No
Note: A formal visible emission test was not conducted. There were no observed emissions during the inspec	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, baggin	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed truck or railcar loading station.	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))	☐Yes ☐ No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	□xz□ xz.
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	☐Yes ☐ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control d	
<ul> <li>1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?</li> <li>2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u>% percent?</li> </ul>	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7% percent opacity?	Yes No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\underline{10}\%$	
percent opacity?	∏Yes ∏ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	☐Yes ☐ No
Note: There are no enclosed emission points associated with this unit.	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	□Yes □ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyor	S
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage b	
in the production line?	☐Yes ☐ No
Note: There is not a wet screening operation associated with this unit.	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)	
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation? \bigsymbol{\text{XYes}} \bigsymbol{\text{NO}} No	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	
form submittal date? \times Yes \square No	
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?   Yes   No	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	
form submittal date? \times to the difference of the limited and general permit nonfrection.	
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?   Yes   No	
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?	
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No	
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) [Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement	
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility	
and/or equipment:	
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated	
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	
**b) for a Screening Operation,	
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total	
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,	
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?  Yes No	
**d) for a Storage Bin,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated	
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	
Note: There were no replacements to report.	
Performance/Compliance Testing	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change	
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid	
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance	
test?	
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar	
quarters?	
Note: There is no wet scrubber associated with this unit.	
There is no not get upper appealance with this time.	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	ı
	ı
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests	ı
conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity	1
(using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission	· -
observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance wi	
\ //	⊠Yes □ No
<u>Process Changes</u> **11 Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a helt conveyor system? (If your	1
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i> )	□Yes ⊠ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	☐ Yes 🔼 NO
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:  **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	1
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	1
	□Yes □ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(	(h))
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	□Yes □ No
Notification Requirements	<del></del>
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
	⊠Yes ☐ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
	⊠Yes ☐ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	<u>~~~</u>
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠Yes □ No
	<del></del>
PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check <b>⊻</b> appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (□; 2) stationary (□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (□	¬
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (2) stationary; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check Donly one box above.</i> )	<b>-</b>
(NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the	har for
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer	
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	<i>uu</i>
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
	⊠Yes □ No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from o	
deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	<u> </u>
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
conveyor drop points?	⊠Yes □ No
c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	□Yes □ No

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F. A. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<b>A.C.</b> (Continued)
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, S	Subpart OOO
adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then	•
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance wit	h the
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching p	
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this q	
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all opera	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concre	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to thi	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a.	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral productions and the state of the language of this language of the state of the language of the language of the state of the language of the la	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallocalendar year?	
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	
Note: This is not associated with a concrete batching plant.	
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a	facility (not
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an	
plant?	□Yes ⊠ No
a) If <b>YES</b> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provi	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine</u> activity, s	
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	

0.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.		
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))		
nconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral p	processing plant take reasonable precautions to cont	rol unconfined
emissions by:	5 I	
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars	s located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of th	e
	or drop points?	
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles,		
	as, stock piles, and yards?	
2) application of water or environmentally safe d	dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contr	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other		
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□Yes ⊠ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation o		
	?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip		DV. DN
matter?		□Yes ⊠ No
7) 411		_
		□Yes ⊠ No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?		_
RT VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR  A. New or Modified Process Equipment		_
RT VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	□Yes ⊠ No
RT VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	☐Yes ☒ No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	☐Yes ☒ No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement? y different than that noted on the most	☐Yes ☐ No  ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	AES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  at replacement?y different than that noted on the most	☐Yes ☐ No  ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement?y different than that noted on the most  the owner submit a new and complete	☐Yes ☐ No  ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	ut replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete -4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
2. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement?y different than that noted on the most  the owner submit a new and complete	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	ut replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete -4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	ut replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete -4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement?————————————————————————————————————	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	ut replacement?————————————————————————————————————	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement?————————————————————————————————————	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No ☐Yes ☒No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	LES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  ut replacement?————————————————————————————————————	☐Yes ☒ No ☐Yes ☐No

FA	CI	LIT	Y: P.A.W Materials, I	nc.	]	PERMIT ID:	841
					]	DISTRICT:	Southwest
ΑI	DI	RES	S: Portable Hudson, FL			CONTACT PHON	E:
AF	RM	S NO	0:	PERMIT NO:		Expiration Date:	9/19/2008
7770420 001			<b>'0420 001</b>	7770420-006-AG	_	Renewal Date:	7/21/2008
-	<b></b>	<b>7.</b> 0.	NAME OF THE CONTROL OF THE			Test Date:	7/21/2008
			N UNIT DESCRIPTION: 24 Subpart OOO applies	2 THP Ashpalt & Concrete Crusher: Stea	adman M	lachine Company, M	lodel 4260 N-Grand
IN	SPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMP	LIANCE STATUS:	
ç	9/7/	10		⊠ INS2 orINS	⊠ I1	N MNC	SNC
	Tyj	pe o	f Inspection:   Initial	☐ Re-inspection ☐ Complain	int	☐ Drive-by	☐ Quarterly
				A. General Review:		<u> </u>	7
1. 2.			nit File Review Oduction and Entry				Yes No
۷.		mu	duction and Entry			K	3 1es 🔲 100
		-	nments:			4	
3.			ne Authorized Representative	swered my questions and provided the restill: Richard Wohlfiel?	equestea		Yes No
			ments:	stin. Achara womici.		<u>L</u>	<u> </u>
4.			ne facility contact still: Richard	l Wohlfiel?			Yes No
5.	_			the notification form [Rule 62-210.300]			Yes No
		Con	nments:				
I	M N	S N					
N	C	C		B. Specific Conditions	1 .	• • 1	1 ( 1 11 ( 6
			the Department by phone prior	relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing to changing location and submit a Facilia ent no later than one (1) business day fol	ity Reloc	ation Notification Fo	orm (DEP Form No.
			<b>Comments:</b> This facility ⊠ i	s or 🗌 is not a relocatable nonmetallic n	mineral p	processing plant.	
			material from onsite natural de material, the owner or operator entrance and exit of the crushe <i>Comments:</i> The facility $\boxtimes$ do functional.	mineral processing plants, except those leposits, and for all stationary nonmetallic shall have a water suppression system water(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyors does does not operate a water suppression hose to apply water at each reference processing the same does not operate a water suppression hose to apply water at each reference processing the same does not operate a water suppression hose to apply water at each reference processing the same does not operate a water suppression hose to apply water at each reference processing plants, except those leposition and suppression system water suppression system sys	mineral with spray yor drop	processing plants pry bars located at the points [62-210.300 cm, and the system	ocessing dry feeder(s), the (4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.]
			precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exit	mply with paragraph 62-296.320(4)(c), F might be generated from various activitie hall be controlled by using a water suppres of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, a might be generated by vehicular traffic of	es through ession sy and the c	hout a nonmetallic n ystem with spray bar onveyor drop points	nineral processing s located at the

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			water trucks equipped with spray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis to all stockpiles, roadways and work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]  Comments: This facility uses a water hose for the crusher to minimize dust. The property is surrounded by vegetation which minimizes or eliminates emissions from exiting the property.
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]
			Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes ☐, or No ☐, or NA ☐. The results of the various points were:  There are no stack emissions.
			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility does does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.  There are no stack emissions.
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity.  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]
			Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes □, or No □, or NA □ The results of the various points were:  There were no visible emissions observed during the inspection.
$\boxtimes$			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.;  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]
			Comments:
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.]
			There is no wet screening operation.

	M	S	
I	N C	N C	D. Specific Conditions
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations.  The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices:  (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  **Comments: The facility   does   does not operate a wet scrubber.**
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 8/22/2013.**
			The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.] [860.676 Reporting and recordkeeping.  (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment.  (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station:  (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment.  (2) For a screening operation:  (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation.  (3) For a conveyor belt:  (i) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the replacement conveyor belt.  (4) For a storage bin:  (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins.  (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate.  (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most rent performance test.  (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters.  (f) The owner or operator of any aff

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
1			change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the 10 percent opacity limit in §60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of § 60.11 and this subpart. Likewise a screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor that processes unsaturated material but subsequently processes saturated material shall submit a report of this change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the no visible emission limit in §60.672(h).  (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart.  (i) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of each affected facility shall be submitted to the Administrator.  (1) For a combination of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available.  (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant.  (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with the reporting requirements of this section, provided that they comply with requirements established by the State.
			Comments: The facility supplied proper notification for the portable crusher.
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source;  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]  Comments: The crushing operation is located independently or with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations are are not considered a Title V source. (See below)  This is not a stationary plant.
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\subseteq independently or *\subseteq with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations *\subseteq are not considered a Title V source. (See below)  **This is not a stationary plant.**
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.l., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The facility** does** does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required,yes** no. If required, records reviewed from to

I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			material processed. % Sulfur
$\boxtimes$			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation \( \subseteq \) is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of \( \subseteq \) a routine function \( \subseteq \) non-routine activity (\( \subseteq \).
I N	M N C	S N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
N			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the
			notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: There are no administrative corrections.
$\boxtimes$			<b>Equipment Changes.</b> In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] <b>Comments:</b>
$\boxtimes$		$\overline{}$	There are no equipment changes.  If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-
			<ul> <li>11. For any reason, the owner or operator of any facinity operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information: <ol> <li>A description of and cause of noncompliance; and</li> <li>The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.</li> </ol> </li> <li>[62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]</li> </ul> Comments:
			There are no instances of non-compliance.
			<ul> <li>Valid Permit  Throughout the term of the general permit: <ul> <li>a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.;</li> <li>b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.</li> </ul> </li> <li>[62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C.</li> </ul> <li>Comments:</li>

	M	S			
Ι	N	N			
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures		
		C			
$\boxtimes$	Ш	L	A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the		
			filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain		
			all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable		
			and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of		
			ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the		
			pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations		
			occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.		
			[General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]		
			[]		
			Comments: The permit expires on 8/22/2013. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than		
			6/21/2013.		
$\boxtimes$		П	No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper		
_			operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.]		
			Comments:		
			D. Other:		
Clo	sing	g Co	nference $\Xi$ Yes $\Box$ No		
			ments:		
Lin	forn	ned	Rick Green that the unit appears to be in compliance at this time as I did not observe any emissions from the unit and he		
	was able to answer my questions satisfactorily and provide the requested documentation. I told him if, after further review of the file,				
	there are any issues, I would inform the home office.				
Ins	Inspector(s): Shannon Ransom, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division				
Sig	natı	ure(	Date: 9/8/10		
			CLOG?, ACCESS?, ARMs?		

Enter file path and name here