CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Environmental Compliance

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☒ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 7770032 **DATE:** 6/16/09 **ARRIVE:** 3:43 PM **DEPART:** 5:10 PM

FACILITY NAME: FORT WALTON CONCRETE COMPANY

FACILITY LOCATION: 26 INDUSTRIAL STREET NW

FORT WALTON BEACH 32548-4814

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES CAMPBELL **PHONE:** (850)243-8112

CONTACT NAME: Barbara Woolard **PHONE:** (850)682-6117

ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/13/2006 (effective date) / 5/13/2011 (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)

☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Stack Emissions

1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
   a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?--------- ☐Yes ☐ No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – *(continued)*
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)

Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)

1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)---------- ☒Yes ☐ No

New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)

2. Did this facility demonstrate:
   a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No

Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)

3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No

Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)

4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ***(Please check ☑only one box.)***

2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ***(If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)***---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
   a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No

3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:
   a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No
   b) material processed on a monthly basis?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
   c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. *(continued)*
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
   a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
      1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
      2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
      3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
      4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?---------- ☒Yes ☐ No
   b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- ☒Yes ☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.

A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1. Since the last inspection has there been
   a) installation of any new process equipment?---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
   b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
   c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?---------- ☐Yes ☒ No
   d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?---------- ☐Yes ☐ No

Jennifer Waltrip	6/16/09
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Jennifer Waltrip	June 2010
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on June 16, 2009 at the Fort Walton Concrete batch plant currently located on Industrial Street in Walton County. Mark Clements, mechanic, and Tommy McCoy, dispatcher, were available to assist during the inspection.
The plant has two silos, one for fly ash and one for cement. The silos are each equipped with a baghouse to control emissions and a spray bar is used to control emissions while loading the trucks. Preventative maintenance inspections are tracked and performed monthly. Good housekeeping duties are tracked and performed weekly. Good housekeeping duties include wetting the yard, cleaning the area around the silos and cleaning and inspecting the spraybar.

To mitigate wind entrainment of particulater matter, aggregate is stored within three walls which are connected to a sprinkler system. Sand was stored without the wall enclosure, which can cause a source of fugitive emissions. Please note that reasonable precautions should be taken to control unconfined emission from truck loading and unloading areas, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards.

The most recent annual visible emissions (VE) test was conducted on February 26, 2009. No emissions were observed during the 30-minute tests.
During the inspection a tanker was unloading fly ash to the storage silo. Emissions were noted from the top of the fly ash storage silo. When this was pointed out to Mr. Clements, he halted the unloading of the fly ash and began repairs to the hatch door. While on site, repairs were completed and the unloading of the fly ash was recommenced. No further emissions were noted. According to Mr. Clements, the hatch door had rusted and caused a pinhole leak in the seal. Please note that Rule 62-210.310(3)(g), F.A.C., states that the owner or operator shall maintain the authorized facility in good condition. Throughout the term of air general permit use, the owner or operator shall ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit. It is recommended that a maintenance check be performed on all areas of the facility's emission control equipment to prevent further failures and associated emissions.