

#### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI	SCOVERY	Y (CI)	
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	INT NO:		
ΑI	RS ID#: 0570374 DAT	ГЕ: <u>7/30/13</u>	ARRIVE: 8:00AM	<u>I</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> <u>1115AM</u>	
FA	CILITY NAME: SOU	UTHERN GROUTS & MORTA	ars			
FA	CILITY LOCATION	: 3303 SR 574 W				
		PLANT CITY 33563-4	4522			
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: rpicou@sgm.c DNTACT NAME: PA Email: poconnor@sgi	AT O'CONNOR* m.cc		Mobile:	(954)943-2288 (954)943-2288 (954)444-9755	
PA	RT I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch			`Non-COMPLIANCE	
1.		resentative(s): Ron Picou			•	only one ch question)
2.	Is the Authorized Representation, who is?:	esentative still RON PICOU?			X Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility the facility contact st If no, who is?:	ility provide an administrative uptill PAT O'CONNOR*?	pdate within 30 days?		Yes Yes	□No □No
		ting VE test(s) during today's in nce authority notified at least 15				⊠No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –THREE CEMENT SILOS WITH THREE BAGHOUSES (OPERATION #1) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check <b>☑</b> box for each o	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paying and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	× Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment o particulate matter from stock piles?	of —	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –THREE SAND SILOS WITH THREE BAGHOUSES (OPERATION #1) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
2.	Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \Delta N/A \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<b>D</b> 4	DELIV. FIELD ODGEDVATIONG D. L. (A 200 A14/A) F. A. G.		
	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  sconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one question)
	nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –TWO MIXERS WITH TWO BAGHOUSES (OPERATION #1) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Date of last inspection: N/A  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
P/	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	Yes	□ No
	particulate matter?	<del>_</del>	☐ No ☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section <u>5 -THREE BAGGERS WITH ONE BAGHOUSE (OPERATION #1) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% of c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes No	
DARTH, EIELD ORGEDWATHONG D.L. (2.40(.414(2) E.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Stor Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stor		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precessions by:	ecautions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chen control emissions?	yards? X Yes No emicals when necessary to	
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas uncommer/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to particulate matter?	nder control of the oreduce airborne Yes No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to miti particulate matter from stock piles?		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the	e drop point to the truck?   Yes   No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No Yes No	

# Emissions Unit Section 6 –ONE CEMENT SILO WITH ONE BAGHOUSE (OPERATION #2) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[ \Delta N/A \]  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<b>D</b> 4	DELIV. EVEL D. ODGEDVA WYONG . D. L. (2-20/ 414/2). E. A. G.		
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one question)
<u>C0.</u>	iveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Tards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ul>	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 7 –FIVE SAND SILOS WITH FIVE BAGHOUSES (OPERATION #2) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions duration.</li> <li>If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visit c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	st (20% opacity)?ble emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? $\square$ N/A	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.4	114(2) FAC		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unlo Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads	oading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check <b>b</b>	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching pla emissions by:	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfi	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking ar</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe</li> </ul>	reas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and	d other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	☐ No
			☐ No
	of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit	igate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:     a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emisc. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	opacity)?ssions < 20% opacity?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 10 –ONE BAGGER WITH ONE BAGHOUSE (OPERATION #2) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
2.	Date of last inspection: 5/23/2008  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	No No No
PA	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(.1	1
Unc	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>b</b>	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
;	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
1	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		☐ No
:	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(cl	heck 🗹 (	only one
			for each o	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\boxtimes$	Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-   -   -	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparate	ane/yr e/yr	<u>·</u> ≤ 1.00°	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			□ No
<u>G</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS		heck 🗹 of for each of	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🔲	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?			
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	· 🖂	168	□ 140
	permit and Department rules?	🛛	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both		(check ☑ box for each	*
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (a)  2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ( <i>If YES</i> , <i>answer 2</i> . <i>a and 2</i> . <i>b</i> ; <i>if NO</i> , <i>answer question 2.c below.</i> ) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or	1	- Yes	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin	cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it	t in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage t was	)?	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?			☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:	the facility on outhonized memorante	box for each	question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - \(\sum \) Yes	question)  No No
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days</li> </ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes	No
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:      Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes mitted	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?</li></ol>	n of the facility or any emissions un inistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>