

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

November 24, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL ErinC@RMUSAINC.COM

Erin Christie Environmental Coordinator Ready Mix USA, LLC 2570 Ruffner Road Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Christie:

On November 4, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910027. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

As a reminder, please note that authority to operate this facility expires on September 11, 2010. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Carol Melton at 850/595-8300, extension 1228 or carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Einen Mitchell

Erica Mitchell Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/cm/c

Enclosure

c: Richard Fragale; Ready Mix USA, LLC: coastal633@couchusa.com

1

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO					
AIRS ID#: 0910027 DATE: <u>11/4/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:40 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:07 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: FT WALTON PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 216 RACE TRACK RD						
FORT WALTON BEAC	СН 32548					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MAI	RC TYSON PHONE	E: (205)986-4800				
CONTACT NAME: Richard Fragale	PHONE	E: 8508622124				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) (end date))					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible e During visible emissions tests of the silo dust colle at a rate that is representative of the normal silo lou unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) op to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during operation during 	s), and other enclosed storage an emissions to 5 percent opacity?- ector exhaust points was the loa ading rate, or at least at the min peration controlled by the silo du tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ans	nd conveying equipment 				
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batch duration?5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) oper from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissio conducted while batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching at a rate that is represented by the batching batching by the batching batching batching by the batching batchi	ration are controlled by a dust coordinates of the weigh hopper (based)	ollector, which is separate atcher) dust collector				

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)			
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to			
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes 🗌 No			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)			
 Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No 			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es)))
-------------------------------	---

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠No □Yes ⊠No
	 b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? 	☐Yes ☐ No ⊠Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ⊠Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \square Yes \square No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most 	□Yes □Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
	□Yes	🛛 No
local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Carol Melton

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/4/09

Date of Inspection

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

During the inspection, two concrete mix trucks were loaded and a load of gravel was unloaded. All fugitive emissions appeared reasonably controlled.

Electronic records of amounts of material processed are maintained and were accessed during the inspection.

Records for August 2009 indicated that, roughly estimated, approximately 12,000 tons of materials were processed.

The expiration date of the permit 9/11/2010 was noted and discussed. As a reminder, to avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

The latest VE test was conducted on 6/12/09 and, according to facility personnel, the next test will most likely be scheduled for June 2010.