

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🗵 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
IRS ID#: 0910027 DATE: <u>08/24/06</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:10 am</u> DEPART: <u>11:50 am</u>
ACILITY NAME: READY MIX USA - RACE TRACK ROAD PLANT
ACILITY LOCATION: 216 RACE TRACK RD
FORT WALTON BEACH 33622
ESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MARC TYSON (MarcT@rmusainc.com) PHONE: (205)986-4800
ONTACT NAME: Don Moroy, Plant Supt. (donm@couchusa.com) PHONE: (850)862-2124
EMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) (end date)
ART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
ART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
(check ✓ appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes ☑ No         2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process: plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below.</i>)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process: plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below.</i>)</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))			
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, an  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas,  2) application of water or environmentally safe dus emissions?	d yards, which shall include one or more of the fol stock piles, and yards?	⊠Yes □ No □ ⊠Yes □ No r to	
		⊠Yes □ No	
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially d		☐ res ⊠ No	
		□Yes ⊠ No	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4. local program office?	050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes □ No	
Greg Landry	08/24/06		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_	
/s/	08/07		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_	
		1	

**COMMENTS:** The facility was not operating during the inspection. A vacuum system is utilized to mitigate emissions from the truck drop point. The yard is paved and is swept twice per week. No emissions were noticed from the stock piles