

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

September 18, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL MarcT@rmusainc.com

Mr. Marc Bryant Tyson, President Ready Mix USA LLC Post Office Box 35538 Panama City, Florida 32413

Dear Mr. Tyson:

On July 30, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910025. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those sources specifically listed on the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-8300, extension 1222 or Jennifer.Waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Einen Mitchell

Erica Mitchell Air Compliance Supervisor

EM/jw/c

Enclosure c: Erin Christie, Ready Mix USA (erinc@reusainc.com)

> "More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us

STREAT WOTECTION	
Str. Harr	
🕈 FLORIDA 🔒	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0910025 DATE: July 30, 2009 ARRIVE: 1:09 PM DEPART: 1:12 PM FACILITY NAME: DESTIN READY MIX PLANT FACILITY LOCATION: 820 Beach Drive DESTIN 33662 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800 CONTACT NAME: Erin Christie PHONE: (205)986-4856 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes □ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes □ No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes □ No						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ⊠Yes □ No			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)			
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 ap	propriate box(es))
-------------	--------------------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	ing □Yes ⊠No □Yes □No
	 c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? 	YesNoYesNoYesNo
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 🖄 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Yes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? XYes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u> 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?-----Yes | No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?------No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most

. /			
	recent notification form?	Yes	No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	No

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

Date of Inspection

July 30, 2009

July 2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: A Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on July 30, 2009 at the Ready Mix USA facility located in Okaloosa County. The facility was not in operation at the time and no one was present; thus, no records could be reviewed. Following the inpsection, facility personnel stated the last time the facility operated was during the annual visible emissions test on June 17, 2009.

To prevent wind blown emissions, aggregate is stored in 3-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 feet high. The plant has a centralized dust collector for the two silos and weigh hopper and a partial enclosure to control emissions during loading of the trucks.

Particulate emissions are controlled by a baghouse located on top of each of the storage silos. Emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) are controlled by a spraybar.

Speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard.

The annual visible emissions test for 2009 was conducted by HS&E Resources, Inc., on June 17, 2009. No emissions were observed from any of the baghouses during the 30-minute tests.