

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) \boxtimes COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0910025 DATE: <u>6/26/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:46 am</u> DEPART: <u>12:00pm</u>
FACILITY NAME: DESTIN READY MIX USA PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 820 Beach Drive
DESTIN 33662
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010
(effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.
(check ☐ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \overline Yes \overline No
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDRERING RECOTREN	#ENTEG D 1 (0.00(.414(0)(.) -1(L) E A (C. (
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(cneck v appropriate box(es))			
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	take reasonable procuditions to control and similar		
	d yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?	Yes No		
	ner paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of v			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	ate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	– Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
to City and the Comment of the Above beautiful to the City of the			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment (
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially d			
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0	owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0	owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0	owner submit a new and complete 050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office?	owner submit a new and complete 050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0	owner submit a new and complete 050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office?	owner submit a new and complete 050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office?	June 26, 2008		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office? Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print)	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office? Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/ Inspector's Signature	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/ Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 local program office? Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/ Inspector's Signature	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection I compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility.	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the		
Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/ Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority emissions. The facility operates on electricity, so the fuel consu	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined amption and sulfur content requirements do not apply. Part IV of the		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined amption and sulfur content requirements do not apply. Part IV of the		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority emissions. The facility operates on electricity, so the fuel consu	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined imption and sulfur content requirements do not apply. Part IV of the essentative being on-site.		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Comments: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority emissions. The facility operates on electricity, so the fuel consu inspection checklist could not be determined due to no site representations. Visible emissions tests are to be conducted on an annual basis.	June 26, 2008 Date of Inspection June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined imption and sulfur content requirements do not apply. Part IV of the essentative being on-site.		
Chris Stoll Inspector's Name (Please Print) /s/ Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: On June 26, 2008, I conducted an unannounced facility was not in operation at the time of the inspection. At the facility. This concrete batch plant includes two cement silos and two fly dust collector dedicated to the truck loading spout. The majority emissions. The facility operates on electricity, so the fuel consuinspection checklist could not be determined due to no site representations. The silos are to be conducted on an annual basis. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted. As noted	June 26, 2008 June 2009 Approximate Date of Next Inspection d compliance inspection at the Ready Mix USA Destin Plant. The etime of the inspection, there were no site representatives at the ash silos; all four silos are equipped with dust collectors and another y of the site is paved and well maintained to prevent unconfined imption and sulfur content requirements do not apply. Part IV of the esentative being on-site. The last visible emission testing was conducted on July 7, 2008.		