

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

December 10, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested csgjc@panhandle.rr.com

Mr. James Campbell, Owner Crestview Ready Mix Post Office Box 655 Pensacola, Florida 32549

Dear Mr. Campbell:

On December 2, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910016. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.Stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradbon

RB/cs/c

Enclosure

c: Judson Day, Bio-Tech Consulting: Judson@btc-inc.com



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		AINT/DISCOVERY	Y(CI)			
ΑI	RS ID#: 0910016 DAT	TE: <u>12/2/2010</u>	ARRIVE:	<u>12:25 PM</u>	DEPART: 12	2:50 PM		
FACILITY NAME: CRESTVIEW READY MIX								
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 1070 FARMER ST							
		CRESTVIEW 32570						
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZED Email: judson@btc-in ONTACT NAME: JA Email: NTITLEMENT PERIO	MES CAMPBELL		Mobile:	(850)469-1100 (850)243-8114			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
D۸	DT II. ONSITE INTD	ODUCTORY MEETING						
		resentative(s): <u>Seth Sims</u>				(check ☑ ox for each o	only one question)	
	Brief Notes:							
2.	Is the Authorized Represent If no, who is?:	esentative still JUDSON DAY	?		[X Yes	□No	
3.		lity provide an administrative in ill JAMES CAMPBELL?				☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conduct	ing VE test(s) during today's ince authority notified at least 1				Yes Yes	⊠No □No	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-1cement&1flyashsilow/bhouses,loadoutw/sprayhead subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 12/2/2010 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each	only one question) No No No No No No No No No	
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No	
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loaded during				
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No	
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No	
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	- Yes	☐ No	
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which			
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		☐ No	
2	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		⊠ No	
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□ No	
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No	
	d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.			

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	- X Yes	No No No No No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal proparties the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumfor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	□ No
CI	ENIED AT CONDITIONS		
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check v box for each	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- ⊠ Yes	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	□ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
	box for each question) box for each question) box for each question) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L		- Yes	☐ No		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	rior to changing location?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6		□ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five I	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	NoNo		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it very larger than the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	r construction or air operation per in that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	mit,)?	□ No		
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes - Yes	□ No □ No		
CHANGES					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?					
Chris Stoll	12/2/2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/s/	12/1/2011				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection			
COMMENTS: The concrete batch plant emissions units include two silos equipped with dust collectors and the loading spout, which is controlled by a manual spraybar. The majority of the site is unpaved; however, water sprinklers are located throughout the site to control unconfined fugitive emissions. Visible emissions test are to be conducted on an annual basis. The last visible emissions test was conducted on the two bag houses on February 16, 2010. During the test, there were no visible emissions noted.					

Questions on the above inspection checksheet that were unanswered were not applicable.