

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

August 20, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL jthompson@ftwaltonconcrete.com

Mr. John Thompson Office Manager Crestview Ready Mix, Inc. Post Office Box 655 Ft. Walton Beach, Florida 32549

Dear Mr. Thompson:

On July 20, 2009, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910016. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

As a reminder, authority to operate the facility expires on May 1, 2010. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Carol Melton at 850/595-8300, extension 1228 or carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Erica Mitchell

Air Compliance Supervisor

ina Mitchell

EM/cm/

Enclosure



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI	ERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:		
AIRS ID#: 0910016 DATE: <u>7/20/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:10 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:20 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CRESTVIEW READY MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1070 FARMER ST				
CRESTVIEW 32570				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Joh	in Thompson PHON	E: (850)243-8114		
CONTACT NAME: Billy Vest, Operator	PHON	Е:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/1/2005 / 5/1/2010 (effective date) (end date)				
(checuve date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE	<u> EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Stack Emissions</u>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this	is site visit according to EPA M	lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
62-297, F.A.C.)?		∐Yes ⊠ No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) o	operation controlled by the silo	∐Yes ∐ No lust collector? (If answer		
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to que skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)	stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ar	nswer is "No" then		
a) Was the batching operation in operation durin	g the visible emissions test?			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the bate duration?				
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) oper from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions are the visible emissions.				
conducted while batching at a rate that is represent	ntative of the normal batching r	ate and duration? \Box Yes \Box No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	□ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes	□ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	□ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable 	No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas application of water or environmentally safe dust emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other-entrainment, and from building or work areas reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following s, stock piles, and yards?	s	
 c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4. 	Tyes It replacement? Gifferent than that noted on the most	s ⊠No s ⊠No	
Carol Melton	7/20/09		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: The plant still operates on electricity. At the time of inspection, a truck was being loaded and a spray Mr. Vest informed me that John Thompson is their new Control The expiration date of the general permit (May 1, 2010) was dispermit should be submitted before the permit expires. To avoid	oller/Business Manager .		

The expiration date of the general permit (May 1, 2010) was discussed. I explained that a new registration notice for the general permit should be submitted before the permit expires. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, the proper registration form and processing fee should be submitted at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit.

Annual VE tests were conducted in February 2009.