

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO	`		
AIRS ID#: 0570247 DATE: <u>8/20/2012</u>	ARRIVE: 9:30am	DEPART: <u>11:15am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: 78TH STREET RM FACILIT	Y			
FACILITY LOCATION: 6725 78TH ST				
TAMPA 33569				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: DENISE CORRALES Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2008 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile: 12/2013	E: (813)269-1035		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Jeff Toenni Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DENISE CO	ORRALES?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still DENISE CORRALES? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least				

Emissions Unit Section -CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCD Hant-sho (nyash) w/shotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Hecau	.00115	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Date of last inspection: 8/20/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No
particulate matter?	 f	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		⊠ No ⊠ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB plant-split silo (slag side-east), w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Date of last inspection: 8/20/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% op c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Stora Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stoc		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable preceedings by:	autions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall i 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chem control emissions?	ards?	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reparticulate matter?	gate wind entrainment of	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the d	drop point to the truck? Yes No	
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB plant-split silo (cement side-west), w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Date of last inspection: 8/20/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes 🗏 No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control und emissions by: 	confined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	y to	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section -CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

	4 -CCD Frant-truck loadout w/central dust conector subject to Reasonable Fred	autions	
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 8/20/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No ⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section CCB Plant-silo (cement/slag) w/siloton baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 – CCB Frant-sno (cement/siag) w/snotop bagnouse subject to R	leasonable Precautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only on box for each question	
Date of last inspection: 8/20/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes X No	0
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, a	(check 🗹 only on box for each question and Yards	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	o control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include o 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals wh control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce air particulate matter?		ō
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind particulate matter from stock piles? b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poin	Yes No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes 🛭 No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ach question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		1.00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🛭 Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one ach question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	□ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	_
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	🕅 Yes	□ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i> 		(check \(\subseteq \) box for each \(question 2. \)	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loe-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	rior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	s days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b			☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purport of YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage vas)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		0011101 04011	question
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adminity. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	of the facility or any emissions unstrative change at the facility?	its or - Yes	⊠ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? f the change? ntially different?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	= "
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated.	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? f the change? ntially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	tits or - Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? f the change? ntially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? f the change? ntially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? f the change? ntially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or -	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No