

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPL		(CI)	
AI	IRS ID#: 0570180 DA	ГЕ: <u>12/01/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:15</u> a	<u>m</u>	DEPART: <u>11:10am</u>	
FA	ACILITY NAME: CA	ST-CRETE SEFFNER AD	OMIN & MFG FACILITY			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	6324 CR 579				
		SEFFNER 33584	4-3006			
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: ONTACT NAME: Email: NTITLEMENT PERIC	<b>D REPRESENTATIVE: DD:</b> 1/29/2009 / 1/29/(effective date) (end date)	/2014		(813)621-4641 (813)505-6486	
PA	ART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	_	Facility Section  SS (check ☑ only one box  COMPLIANCE ☐ SIC		Non-COMPLIANCE	
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	G		(check 🗹 box for each	
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still WILLIAM	KARDASH?			□No
3.	If different, did the factor Is the facility contact so If no, who is?:	ility provide an administrat till ?	tive update within 30 days?	) 	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conduc		ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?			⊠No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) #1(north) w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 01/28/2009     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control un emissions by:	confined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	y to
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes □ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainme particulate matter from stock piles?	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the true	k? X Yes  No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) #2(south) w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
Date of last inspection:      Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacit     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Pi		•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precaution emissions by:	ons to control unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall inclu</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemical</li> </ul>	? X Yes ls when necessary to	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control areas to reduce the roads are supplied to	control of the	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop	point to the truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-weigh hopr/mxr/loadout/batcher ops w/cent.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection:      Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
<ul><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li><li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li></ul>	<u> </u>	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 5 - Cement Truck Loading Spout with Shroud subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
2.	Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and nveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

### Emissions Unit Section 6 –Aggregate Unloading Into Bins subject to Reasonable Precautions

	6 – Aggregate Unloading Into Bins subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>b</b> ox for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfemissions by:	ined	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> </ul>	X Yes	□ No
	particulate matter?	. <del>-</del>	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/		<u>.</u> ≤ 1.00?	,
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_				
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
,	devices?  Does the owner or operator:	· 🔲 ,	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\bowtie$	Yes	☐ No
3.				□ No
	permit and Department rules:		1 68	L INO

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	ationary and relocatable	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	-
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica</li> </ul>	orior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five businese. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificate to the appropriate Department of Local Air Program at least five least fi	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	)]	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five and 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air	r construction or air operation per		∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit i a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?		)?	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:		oon for cach	question
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? if the change?	its or - Yes - Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	□ No □ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or Yes	No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or -	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	its or -	No No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un istrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No