	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

		/			
INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0570079 DA	TE: <u>9/20/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>1</u>	1:30 AM	
FACILITY NAME: 567	TH STREET RM FACILITY				
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 5503 E DIANA ST				
	TAMPA 33610-1903				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jasonp.jones CONTACT NAME: JA Email: jasonp.jones ENTITLEMENT PERIC	ASON JONES @cemex.com	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(813)269-1240 (813)363-6112 (813)269-1240 (813)363-6112	)	
DADE L. INCRECTION		acility Section			
	COMPLIANCE STATUS (cho				
IN COMPLIANC	CE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANI	T Non-COMPLIA	ANCE	
Ir					
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTI</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING		1	•	only one
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): John Vickstrom, ]	Plant Manager	U	box for each	question)
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:	resentative still JASON JONES?			🛛 Yes	No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrative up still JASON JONES?	>date within 30 days?		☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conduct If yes, was the complia	cting VE test(s) during today's ins ance authority notified at least 15	spection?		Yes Yes	⊠No □No

3 – CCB Plant-truck loadout/weigh hopper w/individual baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/26/2005</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>		□ No
<ul><li>particulate matter?</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ul>		∐ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

5 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)N compartment w/individ.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/20/2005</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		]	
<u><b>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.</b></u> <u><b>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</b> <u><b>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and</b></u></u>	(check ☑ box for each d <u>Yards</u>	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to co emissions by:	ontrol unconfined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one of			
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when a control emissions?</li></ol>	necessary to	∐ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbor particulate matter?	orne 🖂 Yes	🗌 No	
particulate matter from stock piles?	Xes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	the truck? Xes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

<u>6 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)S compartment w/individ.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/20/2005</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:</li> </ol>				
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	- 🛛 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li></ul>	—	□ No □ No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No		

7 - CCB Plant-silo(flyash)(east of split silo)w/individ.baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1.       Date of last inspection: 6/20/2005	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last hispection. <u>0/20/2005</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? [</li></ol>	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question) ds	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or monthal paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necess control emissions?</li> </ul>	sary to	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes 🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain particulate matter from stock piles?		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tr	ruck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		

8 – CCB Plant-silo(flyash)(So.eastof splitsilo)w/individ.b-house subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>6/20/2005</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>FART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:</li> </ol>	ined		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	Xes	□ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🗌 Yes		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each question)
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	Xes No
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the ex	sception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3)	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Ves 🛛 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
If TES, what other general permit units of activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
<ul><li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li><li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li></ul>	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	
and discriber to an analyzed and the NOA SCE and the State State	
<u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline/yr</u> + <u>MM SCF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>MM scF nat. gas/yr</u> + <u>MM scF nat. gas/yr</u> 1.3 MM ga	
	L'épano, Ji
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>		question
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	[6)]	No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	? 🗌 Yes	No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	ermit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	TYes	D No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	tative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		

3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership? [] Yes	No No
I		
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No

Patricia Pons

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/20/2011

Date of Inspection

9/2016

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: