

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| IN | SPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN | , , | | |
|----|---|--|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ΑI | RS ID#: 0570047 DA | TE: <u>7/26/2013</u> | ARRIVE: <u>11:10</u> | DEPART: | 12:00 | |
| FA | CILITY NAME: LI | NEBAUGH AVE READY MIX | PLANT | | | |
| FA | CILITY LOCATION | 5920 W Linebaugh Ave | ; | | | |
| | | TAMPA 33624-5096 | | | | |
| CO | WNER/AUTHORIZE Email: ONTACT NAME: Jo Email: bergerj@vmo VTITLEMENT PERIO | mail.com | M PF M | PHONE: obile: HONE: (813)962-321 obile: | 3 | |
| PA | ART I: INSPECTION | COMPLIANCE STATUS (c | | FICANT Non-COMPL | IANCE | |
| | | | | | | |
| | · | resentative(s): John Berger | | | (check v box for each | only one question) |
| 2. | Is the Authorized Repulsion, who is?: | resentative still KATHERINE C | CHUMLEY? | | ⊠ Yes | □No |
| 3. | If different, did the fact Is the facility contact state If no, who is?: | cility provide an administrative utill JOHN BERGER? | update within 30 days? | | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | □No □No |
| 4. | Will facility be conduc | cting VE test(s) during today's in ance authority notified at least 1. | • | | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠No □No |

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-North Split silo-East side w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| 1 – CCB Plant-North Spirt sno-East side w/dust conector subject to Reasonable Precautions | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check 🗹 box for each o | only one question) | | |
| Date of last inspection: 6/24/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes | No No No | | |
| | | | | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | (check 🗹 box for each o | only one question) | | |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by: | fined | | | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | X Yes | □ No | | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? | f — | □ No□ No | | |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? - | X Yes | ☐ No | | |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? | | □ No | | |

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-North Split silo-West side w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check 🗹 box for each of | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date of last inspection: 6/24/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Tyes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |
| | | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | (check ☑ | only one |
| | box for each of | • |
| Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, People Revising Areas, Stock Biles, and Yords | | , |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | | |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: | ned | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the | following: | |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | ☐ No |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? | X Yes | ☐ No |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne | | |
| particulate matter? | ⊠ Yes | ∐ No |
| particulate matter from stock piles? | X Yes | ☐ No |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? | - X Yes | ☐ No |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | | |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? | Yes | ☐ No |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes | ☐ No |
| | | |

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-South Split silo-East side w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| 1. Date of last inspection: 6/24/2008 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? | PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check only one box for each question) |
|--|--|--|
| | 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? | Yes No |

| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Convevor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ──────────────────────────────────── | | 1 | | |
|---|----|---|----------------|-----------|
| Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | | | |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | PA | RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | (check 🗹 | only one |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | | box for each o | question) |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | | ned | |
| control emissions? | | 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | ☐ No |
| particulate matter? | | control emissions? | X Yes | ☐ No |
| particulate matter from stock piles? | | particulate matter? | X Yes | □ No |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes No | | | - X Yes | ☐ No |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? | | b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? | - X Yes | □ No |
| | | a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? | Yes Yes | = |

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-South Split silo-West side w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check \square only one box for each question) |
|--|--|
| Date of last inspection: 6/24/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yar | <u>ds</u> |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by: | unconfined |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or mo 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when neces control emissions? | Yes No |
| removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain | ∑ Yes ☐ No |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the transfer of the spray bar. | <u>_</u> |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No No No Yes No |

Emissions Unit Section 5 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper, batching & truck load-out w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
|---|---|
| Date of last inspection: 6/24/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | (check 🗹 only one box for each question) |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control undersisions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | of the following: |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Facility Section (continued)

| <u>C(</u> | ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | (check 🗹 box for each o | |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |
| 2. | Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | | ⊠ No |
| | b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | ⊠ No |
| 3. | Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? | - | NoNoNoNoNoNo |
| | 82145 gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal propared 1. | | ? |
| 4. | Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | ption - Yes | ☐ No |
| | ENTER AT CONTRIBUTIONS | | |
| Gi | ENERAL CONDITIONS | (check v box for each of | |
| | Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 2. | Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | - 🛛 Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? | | ☐ No |
| 3. | Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | | ☐ No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s | tationary and relocatable | (check ☑ box for each | • |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Ij</i> | | g question 2.) | |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) | | - Yes | ☐ No |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification | prior to changing location? | | ☐ No |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica | ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 | - Yes | □ No |
| to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a | | | ∐ No |
| and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? | in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage | | ☐ No |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility? | | | ☐ No ☐ No |
| | | | |
| CHANGES | | (check ☑ box for each | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation | | tive not | , |
| operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days (New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | nistrative change at the facility? | - Yes | ⊠ No □ No |
| | | | |
| Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst | antially different? | | No No No No No |
| Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub | | 🖾 No |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub | Yes Yes Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? | antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub | Yes Yes Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? | antially different? | Yes Yes Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| Since the last registration form submittal has there been Installation of any new process equipment? Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? Noel Morera, P.E. | on form and the appropriate fee sub | Yes Yes Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |
| Since the last registration form submittal has there been Installation of any new process equipment? Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? Noel Morera, P.E. | antially different? | Yes Yes Yes Printed Yes Yes | ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No |