CHARGED PROTECTION	
John Martin	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER		
AIRS ID#: 0570032 DA	ATE: 01/18/2012	ARRIVE: <u>11:30am</u>	DEPART: <u>12:15pm</u>	
FACILITY NAME: 34	TH STREET RM FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3212 E CLARK ST			
	TAMPA 33602			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jasonp.jones(CONTACT NAME: J. Email: jasonp.jones(ENTITLEMENT PERI	ASON JONES @cemex.com	Mobile:	: (813)269-1240 (813)363-6112 : (813)269-1240 (813)363-6112	
. <u></u>		Facility Section		
	N <u>COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (c			
IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE	
I <u></u>				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	TRODUCTORY MEETING		(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s):		00X 101 Cau	question
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	presentative still JASON JONES	?	Yes	No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact a If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrative u still JASON JONES?	update within 30 days?	Q Yes	No No
4. Will facility be condu If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during today's i iance authority notified at least 1	inspection?	Yes	⊠No □No

1 -CCB Plant-silo #1(cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 COD Finte-sho #1(centent) wishotop bughouse subject to Acasonabi	te i i écuations
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yar</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question) rds
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	l unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or monopoint in the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when neces are the provincience? 	Yes No
 control emissions?	Yes 🛛 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the t	truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No

-CCB Plant-silo #2 (cement) w/siloton baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions 2

2 -CCD 1 fant-sno $\pi 2$ (cement) w/snotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable 1 recal		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigent emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	—	⊠ No ⊠ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

3-CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 o box for each q	only one juestion)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each q	uestion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard	ls	
	_	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or mor	re of the following	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shah hierade one of more		🛛 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necess	sary to	
control emissions?	Yes	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	🖂 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entraining		
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	🖂 No
1 1		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tr	uck? 🛛 Yes	No No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Ves	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		\square No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

4 -CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each	question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🛛 No
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🖾 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No

5 - CCB Plant-Silo	(Slag) w/silotop	baghouse subject to	Reasonable Precautions

<u>5 – CCB Plant-Silo (Slag) Wishotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Preca</u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Tes	□ No □ No □ No
DADT IL FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 414(2) E A C		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each c	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	nfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary t control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	No No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>6 – CCB Plant-weigh scale w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

-	(check ☑ box for each q	only one uestion)
 Date of last inspection: <u>03/30/2006</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one uestion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Foints, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Flies, and Fards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f	ollowing	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🛛 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		—
control emissions?	- 🛛 Yes	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		🖂 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	T Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		Yes Yes Yes		No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception o units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	_	Yes		No
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		Yes		No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		Yes Yes		No No No No No
	gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal propan275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propane/		<u><</u> 1.00?	1	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		Yes		No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_		_
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable		question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the followi	ng question 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	No No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes	No No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		No No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		_
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per	ermit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
Administrative Changes:		1
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		_
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -		🛛 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	_	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	TYes	🖂 No

	b. Anterations to existing process equipment without repracement?		
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

H.N. Swanson

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

01/18/2012

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Warning Notice will be issued for missing VE tests for EU 002 (03/12/08), 004 (09/28/10), & 001-004,006 (11/17/11).