

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1070022 DATE: 4/15/08 ARRIVE: 1100 DEPART: 1215			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK -COMFORT RD			
FACILITY LOCATION: US 17 & COMFORT ROAD			
PALATKA 32077			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HUGH PERRY PHONE: (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: Dion Johnson / Plant Operator PHONE: (386)325-0175			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/30/2007 / 3/30/2012 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
<u>Stack Emissions</u>Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ☐Yes ☒ No			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted			
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) \Box\text{Yes} \Box\text{No then}			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 3.)			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? \(\sum Yes \) No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?\(\sum Yes \) No			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? \(\sum Yes \) No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?\(\sum Yes \) No			
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	le 🗌		
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)	ing		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
TI (2.200.220(4)(-) F.A.C.)			
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya	ords which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su			
emissions?		⊠Yes □ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other p	paved areas under control of the owner/operato	r to	
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		⊠Yes □ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No	
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – R	tule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
_			
1. Since the last inspection has there been			
a) installation of any new process equipment?			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep		□Yes ⊠ No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe recent notification form?	rent than that noted on the most		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the ow		□Yes ⊠ No	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050,			
local program office?	. FAC) to the appropriate DEL of	□Yes □ No	
local program office:			
Raymond Barata	4/15/08		
		_	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_	
	-		
COMMENTS: A scheduled VE testing to be conducted by Arlington Environmental Services was cancelled without notifying			

COMMENTS: A scheduled VE testing to be conducted by Arlington Environmental Services was cancelled without notifying NED for cancellation. Next test date to demonstrate compliance for annual VE testing is 7/11/08.