

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

November 30, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested maacopensacola@bellsouth.net

Mr. Mike Evers, Owner MAACO Collision & Body Repair 189 West Burgess Road Pensacola, Florida 32503

Dear Mr. Evers:

On November 28, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330123. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

(and melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/cs/c

Enclosure

SWOTAL WOTECTOW	
St. Harr	
🕈 FLORIDA 🔒	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0330123 DATE: 11/28/2012 ARRIVE: 12:20 PM DEPART: 12:55 PM FACILITY NAME: MAACO AUTO PAINTING FACILITY LOCATION: 189 W BURGESS RD PENSACOLA 32503-7110 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL EVERS* PHONE: (850)477-0317 Email: macopensacola@cox.net Mobile: CONTACT NAME: MICHAEL EVERS* PHONE: (850)477-0317 Email: macopensacola@cox.net Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/30/2012 / 8/30/2017 8/30/2017 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No					

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

	a)	maintaining spra	ay coating equi	ipment to ensure	effective application	n with a minimu	m of overspray?	⊠Yes	1	No
--	----	------------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------	---	----

		11	1 2		_
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to	void excessive coating?		⊠Yes	
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coa	ings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder	r coatings)?	Yes	\boxtimes

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?-----
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
	cycles?	Ye
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	×Υe

2) recycling cleaning solvents?------ ⊠Yes □ No
 3) using water based cleaners?----- □Yes ⊠ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	⊠No
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Chris Stoll

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

November 28, 2012

Date of Inspection

November 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On November 28, 2012, a routine compliance inspection was conducted at the MAACO Collision Repair & Auto Painting facility located on Burgess Road in Escambia County. The facility was operating at the time of the inspection and no objectionable odors or visible emissions were observed. I met with Mr. Mike Evers, who is the owner of the facility. Mr. Evers and I reviewed the monthly records of the content of volatile organic compounds in the coatings used at the facility. Monthly records for the period of November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012 indicate that the amount of volatile organic compounds being used is less than four gallons per day. The facility has three paint booths located inside of the facility that appear to be well maintained.

No No

No No

Yes No

. . .