

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 0190026 DATE: 4/17/2013	ARRIVE: <u>10:40 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:30PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC-ORANGE PARK R-MIX CONCRETE PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 333-C E PARKRIDGE RD						
ORANGE PARK	32065-7506					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KELLY FOLSOM* Email: kfolsom@titanamerica.com CONTACT NAME: KAREN ZORNES / Operations Manager Email: KZORNES@TITANAMERICA.COM ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/9/2012 / 2/9/2017 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (954)242-0183 PHONE: (904)272-3108 Mobile: (386)956-0763						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): KELLY FOR Brief Notes: BRUCE WISOR IS THE PLANT STATES.	<u>DLSOM</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Is the Authorized Representative still KELLY FO If no, who is?:	DLSOM*?					
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le						

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-2silos, weighscale & truckloadoutw/cent.dustcollector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 10/19/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? <u>25</u> tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.</li> </ul>	n is separate ector	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	□ No

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar		· ·
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
C	ENERAL CONDITIONS		_
J	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		1
2	devices?  Does the owner or operator:	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No
D	ELOCATADI E DI ANT.		
	ELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1		how for each	question)
1.	Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i> )	box for each g question 2.)	question)

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usage)?	e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busin b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation to the Department or Local Air Program no later than fiv c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	less day prior to changing location? Yes No Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] Ye business days following a relocation? Yes Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
CHANGES  (check ☑ only one box for each question)  Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —	and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rou If YES, what was the purpose?	ons unit in that separate permit: tine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?   Yes  No	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —	co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? —			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	box for each question)  Administrative Changes:		
a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		
30 days prior to the change?	a. Installation of any new process equipment?		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection  05/2014	4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————		
RBmuh	Raymond Barata	4/17/2013	
05/2014	Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection	RBmutz-	05/2014	
	Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** Met with KAREN ZORNES/Operations Manager and Bruce Wisor/ Plant Supervisor. Karen and I walked the site while she explain the plant setup and operation. Noticed the existing windbreaks (trees) along the site perimeter are clean of any dust buildup. The plant is operating and had just finished batching 50 cubic feet of concrete on a 2 hours and 10 minutes period. While at the plant, the following activities were observed; flyash truck was onsite loading the silo with hose pressure set at 10 psi and no visible emissions noted from the dust collector exhaust vents; truck onsite delivering aggregate materials; water springklers were turned on spraying water over aggregate stock piles; Review of recordkeeping showed that fuel usage(diesel) are being tracked (5959 gallons year to date); testing and reporting requirements are up to date. No significant findings / concerns or issues of potential violation. Overall assessment during this site visit/inspection showed that the facility is in compliance with all permit conditions and requirements.